






OPEN ACCESS

Burden of fatal drowning in California, 2005–2019

William Koon ^{1,2}, Orion Stewart,³ Robert Brander,¹ Linda Quan ⁴,
Amy E Peden ⁵

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ip-2023-044862>).

¹School of Biological, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

²California Water Safety Coalition, Huntington Beach, California, USA

³Center for Healthy Communities, Injury and Violence Prevention Branch, California Department of Public Health, Sacramento, California, USA

⁴Harborview Injury Prevention and Research Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁵School of Population Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Correspondence to

William Koon, School of Biological, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; w.koon@unsw.edu.au

Received 24 January 2023

Accepted 7 May 2023

ABSTRACT

Objective To characterise risk factors for fatal drowning in California, USA to inform priorities for prevention, policy and research.

Methods This retrospective population-based epidemiological review of death certificate data evaluated fatal drowning events in California from 2005 to 2019. Unintentional, intentional, and undetermined drowning deaths and rates were described by person (age, sex, race) and context-based variables (region and body of water).

Results California's fatal drowning rate was 1.48 per 100 000 population (n=9237). Highest total fatal drowning rates occurred in the lower population density northern regions, among older adults (75–84 years: 2.54 per 100 000 population; 85+: 3.47 per 100 000 population) and non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native persons (2.84 per 100 000 population). Male drowning deaths occurred at 2.7 times the rate of females; drowning deaths occurred mainly in swimming pools (27%), rivers/canals (22.4%) and coastal waters (20.2%). The intentional fatal drowning rate increased 89% during the study period.

Conclusions California's overall fatal drowning rate was similar to the rest of the USA but differed among subpopulations. These divergences from national data, along with regional differences in drowning population and context-related characteristics, underscore the need for state and regional level analyses to inform drowning prevention policy, programmes and research.

INTRODUCTION

Despite progress in reducing death rates and multi-sectoral collaborative action, drowning remains a major health challenge in the United States of America (USA) and globally, with an estimated annual fatal unintentional drowning toll of 4038 and 236 000, respectively.^{1–3} To promote continued action, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended countries adopt national water safety plans as frameworks to advance multisectoral drowning prevention efforts,⁴ the United Nations General Assembly Resolution on Global Drowning Prevention reaffirmed this recommendation.⁵

Water safety plans should be informed by evidence,⁶ which can include fatal and non-fatal drowning data with location, demographics, activities and other characteristics that improve understanding of the drowning burden and aid the development of effective prevention strategies.⁷ In several countries, robust drowning research informs prevention initiatives prioritised for national, subnational and local populations (online supplemental file 1). Subnational drowning studies assist

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Drowning is a public health challenge requiring multisectoral prevention efforts. Previously identified risk factors include young children and males.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ In California, the circumstances of drowning events, such as season, intent, body of water and populations affected, differed substantially by region. Traditional case inclusion criteria focusing only on unintentional underlying cause of death severely underestimates the fatal drowning burden.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ Results from this study will help inform practitioners and policy-makers in the development of drowning prevention strategies, and prioritisation of populations and locations for drowning prevention research, interventions and policy/regulation. Findings also highlight major gaps and limitations in existing data sources and the need for regional or community-based surveillance and research.

in informing health interventions, policy/regulation and research.⁸ In the USA, recent studies have examined fatal and non-fatal drowning nationally,⁹ however, epidemiological drowning studies from the state level are rare,^{10 11} even though data exist for these regions.

California, the most populated state in the USA with nearly 40 million residents, has thousands of miles of ocean and inland lake coastline, nearly 200 000 miles of rivers, and over 1.34 million swimming pools (online supplemental file 1). Previous studies in the 1980s and 1990s identified characteristics of the California fatal drowning burden (online supplemental file 1), but we are not aware of subsequently published peer-reviewed studies specific to the state. The lack of recent and available in-depth analysis on drowning in California makes the size and scope of the burden unclear. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) provide publicly accessible data related to drowning, but these surveillance tools were not designed for research¹² and do not include characteristics of the drowning burden that would be helpful for drowning prevention interventions, policy/regulation and research. The circumstances and details of drowning incidents required to guide



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Koon W, Stewart O, Brander R, et al. *Inj Prev* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ip-2023-044862

California's prevention efforts and policy are largely unknown and have not been the focus of systematic analysis.

This retrospective descriptive epidemiological study describes personal and context-based risk factors for fatal drowning in California between 2005 and 2019 to inform prevention strategies, help prioritise, adapt and implement recommendations for state and local water safety plans, and identify areas for future research.

METHODS

Death data were sourced from the California Comprehensive Death File (CCDF) and the US Department of Health and Human Services' Multiple Cause of Death dataset for the years 2005–2013, and the California Comprehensive Master Death File, which includes multiple cause fields, for the years 2014–2019. From these data sources, which include information provided by coroners/medical examiners and physicians, we identified cases where drowning related International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes were listed as either: (1) the underlying cause of death, the antecedent disease or injury that initiated events resulting in death or (2) a record axis multiple cause code, another condition which contributed to death. Drowning deaths were identified using ICD-10 codes W65, W66, W67, W68, W69, W70, W73, W74, T75.1, V90, V92, Y21, X71 and X92.¹³ We included X38, Victim of flood, cases only if another drowning-related ICD-10 code was listed as the underlying or a contributing record axis cause of death. We excluded drowning deaths of California residents that occurred outside the state. We used ICD-10 codes to categorise the intent as unintentional (W65–W74, V90, V92), intentional (X71, X92) or undetermined (Y21).

To overcome the limitations of ICD-10 codes,¹⁴ namely that all open bodies of water (eg, ocean, river, lake) are grouped together into a single 'Natural Water' classification,¹⁵ we determined the body of water from free-text fields describing the injury location on USA death certificates. We used an ordered, systematic classification process based on key words and ICD-10 codes, followed by manual review of selected cases (n=3406) where key words were conflicting or not present. Body of water was classified as: pool, river/canal, coastal, lake/pond, bathtub, other, unknown natural water or unknown. Body of water definitions and a description of the method used are available in online supplemental file 2.

We described the cohort with frequencies and percentages of deaths by person and context-based variables. We reported race and ethnicity, which are important considerations for drowning risk and prevention,¹⁶ using the CCDF/CCDMF 'multirace status' field which uses race fields on the death certificate to calculate a single race value for all individuals. Race and ethnicity were categorised as non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native (AI/AN), non-Hispanic Black (Black), non-Hispanic Asian (Asian), non-Hispanic Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (H/PI), non-Hispanic White (White), non-Hispanic other (other), two or more races of any Hispanic status (multirace) and Hispanic.

We used the California Census Regions for region-related results, which combines the state's 58 counties into 10 regions. From the death certificate, we determined the decedent's residence region based on the zip code listed and the region of the drowning location based on injury location zip code or, when missing (16.4%), other parts of the injury location address (eg, street, city) and the free-text injury place and location description variables. In accordance with the California Health and Human Services Agency De-identification Guidelines, statistical

masking methods were used to suppress any table cell or value present in written text representing fewer than 11 individuals.

We calculated crude total, unintentional, intentional and undetermined drowning death rates per 100 000 California residents, and 95% CIs by age, sex, race, region and body of water using population estimates from the 2009, 2014 and 2019 American Community Surveys. We also calculated annual age-adjusted and sex-adjusted rates using the direct method and the 2000 US standard population. Non-residents were excluded from rates. Regional death rates were calculated based on the injury location when known; when injury location was unknown or could not be determined (6.6% of cases), we used the region where the death occurred. The impact of using the death region in this small number of cases was likely minimal; for decedents where both death and injury locations were known, 2.5% did not die in the same region as the drowning event. We conducted all analyses and created visualisations and maps using R Studio and Tableau Desktop. Death rates per 100 000 population were calculated using the tidycensus and epiR packages in R (Computer Software); the latter estimates 95% CIs for rates using Ulm's method. References for datasets, methods and software are available in online supplemental file 1. Joinpoint regression (V4.7.0.0; National Cancer Institute) was used to describe trends and changes in trends in annual drowning death rates.

RESULTS

From 2005 to 2019, 9237 drowning deaths occurred in California, an average of 616 each year (SD=±52.8). Drowning person and context characteristics are presented in table 1 with additional variables available in online supplemental file 3. The total drowning rate (all intents) for California residents was 1.48 per 100 000 population (95% CI 1.45 to 1.51). Total unintentional and intentional crude fatal drowning rates per 100 000 population by 5-year time periods for age, sex, race, body of water and region are presented in online supplemental file 4.

Joinpoint regression results (online supplemental file 5) indicated the unintentional drowning rate decreased significantly from 2005 to 2011 (annual percent change: -4.3 (95% CI -1.1 to -7.4); p=0.013) and did not change significantly from 2011 to 2019 (p=0.08; online supplemental file 5). The intentional drowning rate did not change significantly from 2005 to 2007 (p=0.058), however, it increased by 5% per year from 2007 to 2019 (95% CI 3.7 to 6.3; p<0.001) (figure 1, online supplemental file 5). The total fatal drowning rate for males was 2.7 times that of females. AI/AN persons drowned at the highest rate (2.84 per 100 000 population) of any racial/ethnic group. Older adults (65–74 years: 2.0 per 100 000; 75–84 years: 2.54 per 100 000 population; 85+: 3.47 per 100 000 population) and children 0–4 years of age (2.36 per 100 000 population) drowned at higher rates than other ages (figure 2). Age-adjusted and sex-adjusted annual fatal drowning rates are presented in online supplemental file 6.

Drowning fatalities varied by region (figure 3). The North Coast region had the fewest deaths (table 1), but the highest rate (figure 2). The San Francisco Bay Area region had the most drowning deaths, 37.5% of which were intentional; the Los Angeles region had the highest number of unintentional drowning deaths (table 1). Most deaths (72.3%) occurred in the decedent's region of residence; 5.5% involved residents of other states or Canadian provinces. The majority (60.4%) of the 250 decedents who resided outside the USA drowned in the San Diego—Imperial region.

Table 1 Characteristics of fatal drowning in California, 2005–2019

	Unintentional		Intentional		Undetermined		All intents	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total	7624	100	1152	100	461	100	9237	100
Coding of drowning cause of death								
Underlying	6550	85.9	899	78	416	90.2	7865	85.1
Contributing	1074	14.1	253	22	45	9.8	1372	14.9
Age group								
0–4	856	11.2	48	4.2	26	5.6	930	10.1
5–14	320	4.2	12	1	12	2.6	344	3.7
15–24	980	12.9	173	15	49	10.6	1202	13
25–34	1020	13.4	197	17.1	69	15	1286	13.9
35–44	872	11.4	190	16.5	76	16.5	1138	12.3
45–54	1102	14.5	200	17.4	97	21.0	1399	15.1
55–64	967	12.7	170	14.8	62	13.4	1199	13
65–74	673	8.8	74	6.4	31	6.7	778	8.4
75–84	514	6.7	52	4.5	24	5.2	590	6.4
85+	291	3.8	*		*		336	3.6
Unknown	29	0.4	†		†		35	0.4
Sex								
Female	1917	25.1	433	37.6	121	26.2	2471	26.8
Male	5707	74.9	719	62.4	340	73.8	6766	73.2
Race								
White, non-Hispanic	3867	50.7	679	58.9	229	49.7	4775	51.7
Hispanic	2042	26.8	166	14.4	102	22.1	2310	25
Asian, non-Hispanic	830	10.9	176	15.3	59	12.8	1065	11.5
Black, non-Hispanic	561	7.4	75	6.5	44	9.5	680	7.4
Two or more races	189	2.5	33	2.9	20	4.3	242	2.6
AI/AN, non-Hispanic	72	0.9	†		†		77	0.8
Hawaiian/PI, non-Hispanic	24	0.3	†		†		31	0.3
Other, non-Hispanic	25	0.3	*		†		39	0.4
Non stated or unknown	14	0.2	†		†		18	0.2
Body of water								
Pool	2326	30.5	146	12.7	49	10.6	2521	27.3
River/canal	1839	24.1	113	9.8	117	25.4	2069	22.4
Coastal	1193	15.6	587	51	83	18	1863	20.2
Bathtub	843	11.1	119	10.3	56	12.1	1018	11
Lake/pond	851	11.2	60	5.2	30	6.5	941	10.2
Other	*		*		†		223	2.4
Unknown natural water	*		†		†		28	0.3
Unknown	351	4.6	104	9	119	25.8	574	6.2
Drowning event region								
North Coast	485	6.4	37	3.2	15	3.3	537	5.8
Superior California	955	12.5	72	6.3	78	16.9	1105	12
San Francisco Bay Area	785	10.3	432	37.5	111	24.1	1328	14.4
Central Coast	535	7	87	7.6	23	5	645	7
N.San Joaquin Valley	755	9.9	39	3.4	15	3.3	809	8.8
S.San Joaquin Valley	693	9.1	*		*		742	8
Los Angeles	1020	13.4	115	10	39	8.5	1174	12.7
Orange	501	6.6	69	6	23	5	593	6.4
Inland Empire	786	10.3	*		†		841	9.1
San Diego-Imperial	690	9.1	148	12.8	12	2.6	850	9.2
Unknown	419	5.5	68	5.9	126	27.3	613	6.6

*Values >11 not visible to protect the confidentiality of individuals summarised in the data.

†Values <11 not visible to protect the confidentiality of individuals summarised in the data.

AI, American Indian; AN, Alaskan Native; PI, Pacific Islander.




Figure 1

The underlying cause of death was listed as an unintentional drowning-related ICD-10 code (W65–W74, V90, V92) in 70.9%, intentional drowning-related ICD-10 codes (X71, X92) in 9.7%, and drowning of undetermined intent (Y21) in 4.5% of cases. Of the intentional drowning cases, 91.6% were due to self-harm and 8.4% were due to assault. In 14.9% of cases, drowning was listed as a contributing, not underlying, cause of death. Among these cases, the underlying cause of death ICD-10 codes in 43.0% were non-drowning transport accidents (V01–V99 excluding V90 and V92); 17.4% were non-drowning intentional self-harm (X60–X84, excluding X71); 13.2% were hypertensive, ischaemic, pulmonary and other forms of heart disease (I10–I152); 8.5% were accidental poisoning by exposure to noxious substances (X40–X49), and 4.0% were epilepsy (G40).

The location of death from drowning was an emergency room or outpatient facility in 22.1% of cases; an inpatient setting in 10.8%; the decedent’s home in 14.5%; a hospice, nursing home




Figure 3

or other long-term care facility in 0.3%; and marked as ‘other’ in 51.8%, ‘dead on arrival’ in 0.3% and ‘unknown’ in 0.2%. The length of time between the drowning event and death was known in 80.4% of the cases; of these, 3 quarters (74.1%) occurred on the same day of the drowning event; 25.2% died between 1 and 89 days after the event, 0.4% died between 90 days and 1 year after the event, and 0.3% died more than 1 year after the event.

The most frequent body of water involved in fatal drowning varied by region. Pools were the most frequent location in Southern California (Inland Empire: 53.1%; Los Angeles: 46.1%; Orange County: 45.6%); rivers were the most frequent location in the Northern and Southern San Joaquin Valley (50.5% and 41.6%, respectively), Superior California (43.5%) and the North Coast (41.1%); and coastal bodies of water were most frequent in San Diego—Imperial (46.9%), the Central Coast region (46.9%) and San Francisco (41.1%) (figure 3).

Demographics varied by type of body of water. Pools were the most frequent body of water for drowning deaths among persons under 15 years and aged 65 years or older (0–4: 69.0%; 5–14: 43.9%, 65–74: 35.7%, 75–84: 45.1%, 85+: 49.4%); as well as for persons with race identified as other (33.3%), Asian (29.5%), Black (25.9%), multirace (29.8%) and White (26.9%). Rivers and canals were the most frequent body of water for persons aged 15–44 years (15–24: 36.8%, 25–34: 32.0%, 35–44 29.4%), Hispanic persons (33.7%) and AI/NA persons (57.1%). Coastal bodies of water were the most frequent drowning death location among persons aged 45–64 years (45–54: 28.1%, 55–64: 29.0%) and for H/PI persons (29.0%). Where drownings occurred varied by sex: females most frequently drowned in pools (32.6%), bathtubs (25.4%) and at coastal locations (14.3%); males most frequently in rivers/canals (25.6%), pools (25.4%) and coastal locations (22.3%).

The proportion of drowning deaths was highest during the summer months of June–August at lakes/ponds (50.5%), pools (47.6%) and rivers/canals (41.4%). Seasonality was less pronounced for bathtubs and coastal sites: June–August represented 24.8% of drowning deaths for bathtubs and 26.1% for the coast. Few (2%) unintentional drowning deaths (n=153) occurred while the decedent was working.

Variable	# of drowning deaths	Total cumulative fatal drowning rate per 100,000 population (95% CI), 2005–2019
Region		
California (State)	8,401	1.48 (1.45–1.51)
North Coast	527	3.70 (3.40–4.03)
Superior California	1,091	2.36 (2.22–2.50)
San Francisco Bay	1,326	1.32 (1.25–1.39)
Central Coast	637	1.87 (1.73–2.02)
N San Joaquin Valley	801	2.96 (2.75–3.17)
S San Joaquin Valley	746	2.08 (1.93–2.23)
Los Angeles	1,166	0.78 (0.74–0.83)
Orange County	555	1.20 (1.10–1.31)
Inland Empire	813	1.26 (1.17–1.35)
San Diego - Imperial	739	1.48 (1.37–1.59)
Age group		
0–4	905	2.36 (2.21–2.52)
5–14	314	0.41 (0.37–0.46)
15–24	1,035	1.28 (1.20–1.36)
25–34	1,109	1.32 (1.25–1.40)
35–44	1,009	1.28 (1.21–1.37)
45–54	1,276	1.66 (1.57–1.75)
55–64	1,108	1.76 (1.66–1.87)
65–74	735	1.89 (1.76–2.03)
75–84	559	2.54 (2.34–2.76)
85+	330	3.47 (3.10–3.86)
Sex		
Female	2,316	0.81 (0.78–0.85)
Male	6,085	2.15 (2.10–2.21)
Race		
AI / AN	64	2.84 (2.18–3.62)
Asian	980	1.30 (1.22–1.38)
Black or AA	620	1.91 (1.76–2.06)
HN / PI	29	1.45 (0.97–2.08)
Hispanic	2,094	0.97 (0.93–1.02)
Multi-race	228	1.52 (1.33–1.73)
Other race	35	2.27 (1.58–3.15)
White	4,340	1.93 (1.87–1.99)
Body of water		
Bathtub	986	0.17 (0.16–0.18)
Coastal	1,608	0.28 (0.27–0.30)
Lake/Pond	871	0.15 (0.14–0.16)
Pool	2,377	0.42 (0.40–0.44)
River/Canal	1,801	0.32 (0.30–0.33)
Unknown/Other	758	0.13 (0.12–0.14)

Figure 2 AI/AN, American Indian or Alaska Native; HN/PI, Hawaiian or Pacific Islander.

DISCUSSION

This evaluation of 9237 death certificates is the first in over two decades to describe drowning fatalities in California, the most populated state in the USA. These data demonstrate the complexity of drowning injury, with substantial differences by intent, region, age, body of water and race throughout this large and diverse state; and the need for local level analyses to guide drowning prevention interventions. Importantly, it shows that drowning rates are not meaningfully decreasing and highlights major gaps in drowning data. Fulfilling important recommendations by the WHO,⁶ this work provides an evidence base for state and local decision makers to prioritise prevention activities, underscores the need for dedicated research into several specific domains and highlights the need for both statewide and local public health intervention.

While California's total fatal drowning rate was comparable to the crude total fatal drowning rate for the rest of the country (1.48 vs 1.50 per 100 000 population),¹⁷ key differences exist. Of note, total fatal drowning rates in California were lower than the rest of the country for persons aged 0–44 (0–4: 2.36 vs 2.55; 5–14: 0.42 vs 0.65; 15–24: 1.28 vs 1.61; 25–34: 1.32 vs 1.45; 35–44: 1.28 vs 1.42 per 100 000 population); males (2.15 vs 2.35 per 100 000 population); and for persons identified as Hispanic (0.98 vs 1.17).¹⁷ Moreover, total fatal drowning rates in California were higher than the rest of the country for persons 55 and older (55–64: 1.76 vs 1.56; 65–74: 1.89 vs 1.56; 75–84: 2.54 vs 1.81; 85+3.47 vs 1.83 per 100 000 population) and White persons (1.93 vs 1.49 per 100 000 population).¹⁷

Despite expanded lifeguard and rescue services, updated drowning prevention legislation and education efforts by government and communities, California's total fatal drowning rates did not continuously decrease between 2005 and 2019, mirroring trends observed in the rest of the country during the same time period.¹⁷ However, important reductions were seen in specific demographics, such as children aged 0–4 years, and in certain regions like the Northern San Joaquin Valley (online supplemental file 4). In contrast, there were increases in other aspects, such as intentional and coastal drowning, which require further research focus and attention from prevention practitioners.

Drowning deaths of intentional intent comprised an important proportion of the problem, 12.5% in California, but have not been a focus of drowning research in the USA.¹⁸ Intentional fatal drowning rates also increased during the study period, as did overall suicide rates in California and the USA,¹⁷ underscoring the need for increased attention. Other countries, such as Ireland, have investigated suicidal drowning and engaged in prevention activities informed by their data.¹⁹ Following their lead, drowning prevention practitioners and researchers should adopt a more inclusive problem definition that addresses intentional drowning, partnering with the suicide prevention community to conduct research and promote evidence-based prevention strategies. Barriers, for example, are highly effective at preventing suicides by jumps from high places,²⁰ including those from bridges over water that may end in a drowning fatality. Installation of suicide barriers on the Golden Gate Bridge is estimated to save 14 lives per year.²¹

This analysis showed the highest total fatal drowning rates in California were among those aged 75 and older, followed by children under 5, then middle-aged individuals aged 45–74. Older adults have not historically been a priority population for drowning prevention researchers and practitioners. However, older adults in California are drowning at higher rates than the

rest of the USA and, in contrast with national trends,¹⁷ have higher fatal drowning rates than adolescents and young adults aged 15–24. Understanding why fatal drowning rates in this population are higher in California compared to the rest of the nation is a major research priority. Clemens *et al* recently described drowning among older adults as a 'growing epidemic' in other high-income countries, calling for further research exploring the contribution of medical conditions and medication use to drowning in this population and the embedding of drowning prevention into healthy ageing agendas.²² Data in the current study also identify the need to prioritise prevention efforts for adults aged 45–74 years in California. Encouragingly, California's fatal drowning rates for children 0–4 decreased during the study period (online supplemental file 4) and were lower than 0–4 drowning rates for the rest of the country.¹⁷ Further work is required to evaluate if these decreases were the result of the drowning prevention sector's decades of focus on children, including statewide legislation and community education.²³

In this analysis of data from a racially and ethnically diverse state, racial disparities in fatal drowning rates were not as pronounced in California as in other parts of the country.¹⁷ Fatal drowning rates among Black and White persons were nearly the same in California, a trend also observed in Florida,¹¹ but not nationally.^{17,24} The highest fatal drowning rates were among AI/AN persons, 1.5 times that of White persons in California. Indigenous populations have also been identified as high risk for fatal drowning in Australia, New Zealand and Canada as well the USA as a whole.^{24,25} Prior research in North America suggests this may be due to differences in exposure (greater use of natural bodies of water) and behaviour (lower rates of life jacket use, higher rates of alcohol involvement),²⁶ which may be downstream effects of cultural heritage, systematic inequities and Eurocentric aquatic programmes.^{27,28} Culturally responsive prevention practices show promise²⁹ and should be designed and led by the communities they intend to serve. Race and ethnicity are one dimension of a complex system of determinants of health that influence risk for drowning.¹⁶ Future research seeking to identify and characterise drowning risks should also consider language, culture, socioeconomic factors and residency/migration status to better understand, characterise and address drowning inequities in California.

The circumstances of drowning events, such as season, body of water and populations affected, including age group and race, differed substantially by region. Existing drowning prevention efforts in California have focused on urban population centres in the southern part of the state,²³ although the highest drowning rates were in the northern and central parts of California where population density is lower. The higher risk for drowning in remote populations in other locations underscore the need for future efforts to address this challenge in California's rural areas.³⁰

This study also contributes new insights into the bodies of water where people drown in California by providing more specific categories than are available from ICD-10 codes. While swimming pools were the location with the highest number of deaths and have been the primary drowning prevention focus for decades,²³ this study showed that California must also focus on its rivers, canals, and coastal bodies of water to address adolescent and adult drowning fatalities. Australia's recent research focus on rivers as major drowning sites prompted increased intervention efforts, a potential model for understanding and preventing these deaths in California.³¹

Despite the presence of robust ocean lifeguard and marine safety services along hundreds of miles of coast, the specific

circumstances leading to coastal drowning in California remain unclear. A recent scoping review identified risk factors for coastal drowning including physical features of the coastal environment, dynamic ocean and weather conditions, and individual factors such as sociodemographic characteristics, behaviours and ocean experience.¹⁵ While findings from other locations provide potential explanations for the high frequency of coastal deaths observed in this study, further investigation is needed, especially given the diverse beach types, usage patterns and rescue services along the California coast. Future research should aim to distinguish between types of bodies of water (eg, lifeguarded vs unlife-guarded beaches) and evaluate regional differences within these categories (eg, coastal drowning in northern vs southern California). More broadly, additional information is needed about specific populations at risk in different bodies of water, coastal and other. Recognising variations in population characteristics and regional drowning trends among different bodies of water carries significant implications for public health policy and education programmes. Gaining insight into these differences is crucial for effectively reducing the occurrence and impact of drowning incidents in the state.

Strengths and limitations

A major strength of this paper was the large number of cases analysed, due in part to the state's population, a 15-year study period, and broad inclusion criterion that incorporated all intents and cases where drowning was either the underlying or a contributing cause of death. The extended study period showed the importance of assessing long-term trends. The expanded problem definition identified the size of the burden of intentional drowning and the need to include cases where drowning was a contributing, not underlying, cause of death. The traditional use of unintentional underlying cause of death only would have missed approximately 30% of California's fatal drowning burden. Cases where a non-drowning cause of death code was listed as the underlying cause warrant further investigation; understanding trends in these deaths by age, sex, ethnicity, body of water and other variables will be useful to inform prioritised prevention activities.

This study was limited by reliance on death certificate data. First, race categories do not capture the variation of how individuals identify themselves and may be subject to misclassification depending on who completes the death certificate and the availability of an informant. While the multirace status field used in this study is helpful for research, it simplifies complexities of race and ethnicity and is prone to mismatch between death data numerators and population data denominators. Identification of body of water categories beyond what was available from cause of death codes was an additional strength of the study, but was time-consuming and potentially subject to misclassification. Knowing the exact location of the drowning event would remove some limitations and allow identification of drowning 'hotspots' within a very specific geographical area. Death certificate data did not provide consistent information on what the person was doing before the drowning event (eg, swimming, boating, surfing), which would help inform prevention efforts.³² The forthcoming ICD-11 may address some of these challenges,³³ but data limitations and barriers to timely research and surveillance require other solutions.

Differences in crude fatal drowning rates may be influenced by the underlying age and sex distribution of the population or within subgroups. However, the higher male drowning rates compared with female drowning rates is consistent with other

regions.¹ In keeping with the original goal of this research to inform prevention efforts and be of use to practitioners and policy-makers, we chose to present crude fatal drowning rates as they are easier to interpret, reflect the actual burden among populations in California and did not differ greatly from the annual age-adjusted and sex-adjusted rates provided in online supplemental file 6. Research providing more direct comparison with age-adjusted and sex-adjusted rates would provide further insight to differences between populations, regions and time periods.

This study excluded the non-fatal component of the drowning problem; publicly available CDPH data indicate as many as three non-fatal hospital or emergency department visits occur per fatality.³⁴ Lacking data from lifeguards, prehospital emergency medical systems and hospitals, this study's ability to inform policy and programme development was limited. A funded fatal and non-fatal drowning registry, as in Australia or the UK,^{35 36} would allow for timely analysis, more robust research and the ability to evaluate several of the gaps identified in this paper.

CONCLUSION

This investigation of fatal drowning in California showed that state and regional level analyses are important for informing drowning prevention policy and prevention activities. In many ways, California does not fit national USA drowning trends and regions within California showed significant variation in person and context-related characteristics. This study identified several gaps in existing datasets; an improved drowning surveillance system is a critical next step with the addition of more detailed relevant variables, use of text-searching techniques of multiple data sources to find information and mapping of drowning sites to guide analysis. While further evaluation of drowning is needed at a regional level within the state, identification of commonalities among regions would promote efficiency and implementation of prevention measures, including policy solutions. The complexity and diversity of drowning in California evidenced in these findings justifies strong collaboration between multisectoral drowning prevention partners in the state.

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it first published. The open access licence type has been changed to CC-BY-NC on 17/07/2023.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to acknowledge Lea Uebelhoefer from the UNSW Beach Safety Research Group for her assistance in data processing, and Dr. Tessa Clemens and Briana Moreland from the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention for their assistance with analysis and data interpretation.

Contributors WK led study conceptualisation with input from AEP and LQ. WK conducted data analysis with input from OS and AEP. WK drafted the manuscript with input from OS, RB, LQ and AEP. All authors were involved in subsequent edits and revisions, and approved the submitted version. WK is responsible for the overall content as gaurantor.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclaimer The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of the California Department of Public Health or the California Health and Human Services Agency.

Competing interests WK is a board member of the California Water Safety Coalition.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The CDPH (Order Number 21-03-0139) approved the study; the California Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects (Project Number 2021-077) provided ethics approval.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available. Supporting data are not available due to ethical/legal restrictions.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

William Koon <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4708-4347>

Linda Quan <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7224-9604>

Amy E Peden <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6424-1511>

REFERENCES

- Franklin RC, Peden AE, Hamilton EB, *et al*. The burden of unintentional drowning: global, regional and national estimates of mortality from the Global Burden of Disease 2017 study. *Inj Prev* 2020;26:183–95.
- Baldwin G, Gilchrist J, Noonan R. The burden of drowning: Issues in selected countries - the United States. In: Bierens J, ed. *Drowning prevention, rescue, treatment*. New York, 2014: 99–100.
- Meddings DR, Scarr J-P, Larson K, *et al*. Drowning prevention: turning the tide on a leading killer. *Lancet Public Health* 2021;6:e692–5.
- World Health Organization. *Global report on drowning: preventing a leading killer*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2014. Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/global-report-on-drowning-preventing-a-leading-killer>
- United Nations General Assembly. Resolution on global drowning prevention (A/RES/75/273). 2021. Available: <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N21/106/27/PDF/N2110627.pdf?OpenElement> [Accessed 4 Feb 2022].
- World Health Organization. *Preventing drowning: an implementation guide*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017. Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/preventing-drowning-an-implementation-guide>
- McClure R, Stevenson M, McEvoy S. Intervention development: Introduction. In: McClure R, Stevenson M, McEvoy S, eds. *The scientific basis of injury prevention and control*. Melbourne: IP Communications, 2014: 175–201.
- Jagnoor J, Kobusingye O, Scarr JP. Drowning prevention: Priorities to accelerate Multisectoral action. *Lancet* 2021;398:564–6.
- Theodorou CM, Rajasekar G, McFadden NR, *et al*. Epidemiology of Paediatric drowning Hospitalisations in the USA: A population-based study. *Inj Prev* 2022;28:148–55.
- Girela-López E, Beltran-Aroca CM, Dye A, *et al*. Epidemiology and autopsy findings of 500 drowning deaths. *Forensic Sci Int* 2022;330:111137.
- Gorsuch MM, Myers SL, Lai Y, *et al*. Vanishing racial disparities in drowning in Florida. *Inj Prev* 2019;25:487–93.
- Ballesteros MF, Webb K, McClure RJ. A review of CDC's web-based injury Statistics query and reporting system (WISQARS™): Planning for the future of injury surveillance. *J Safety Res* 2017;61:211–5.
- Peden AE, Mahony AJ, Barnsley PD, *et al*. Understanding the full burden of drowning: a retrospective, cross-sectional analysis of fatal and non-fatal drowning in Australia. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e024868.
- Branche C, van Beeck E. The epidemiology of drowning: summary and recommendations. In: Bierens J, ed. *Drowning*. Springer, 2014: 81–3.
- Koon W, Peden A, Lawes JC, *et al*. Coastal drowning: a scoping review of burden, risk factors, and prevention strategies. *PLoS One* 2021;16:e0246034.
- Quan L. Risk factors for drowning: culture and ethnicity. In: Bierens J, ed. *Drowning: prevention, rescue treatment*. 2nd ed. Berlin; New York: Springer, 2014: 127–30.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Multiple cause of death, 1999-2020 on CDC WONDER online database. 2021. Available: <http://wonder.cdc.gov/mcd-icd10.html> [Accessed 5 Feb 2022].
- Ryan KM, Dugas J, Pina T, *et al*. Drowning injuries in the United States: patient characteristics, mortality risk, and associated primary diagnoses. *Injury* 2020;51:2560–4.
- Lunetta P, Connolly J. Risk factors for drowning: suicidal drowning. In: *Drowning*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2014: 131–4.
- World Health Organization. *Live life: an implementation guide for suicide prevention in countries*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2021. Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240026629>
- Atkins Whitmer D, Woods DL. Analysis of the cost effectiveness of a suicide barrier on the golden gate bridge. *Crisis* 2013;34:98–106.
- Clemens T, Peden AE, Franklin RC. Exploring a hidden epidemic: drowning among adults aged 65 years and older. *J Aging Health* 2021;33:828–37.
- Barnes M, Inganamort M. California water safety: lessons and landscape. California water safety coalition workshop. 2021. Available: <https://www.cawatersafety.org/workshop21> [Accessed 4 Feb 2022].
- Clemens T, Moreland B, Lee R. Persistent racial/ethnic disparities in fatal unintentional drowning rates among persons aged ≤ 29 years — United States, 1999–2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70:869–74.
- Peden AE, Franklin RC, Clemens T. Exploring the burden of fatal drowning and data characteristics in three high income countries: Australia, Canada and New Zealand. *BMC Public Health* 2019;19:794.
- Strayer HD, Lucas DL, Hull-Jilly DC, *et al*. Drowning in Alaska: progress and persistent problems. *Int J Circumpolar Health* 2010;69:253–64.
- Giles AR, Brooks Cleator L, McGuire-Adams T, *et al*. Drowning in the social determinants of health: understanding policy's role in high rates of drowning in aboriginal communities in Canada. *Aps* 2014;3:198–213.
- Willcox-Pidgeon SM, Franklin RC, Leggat PA, *et al*. Identifying a gap in drowning prevention: high-risk populations. *Inj Prev* 2020;26:279–88.
- Crozier M, Giles AR. Promising practices for boating safety initiatives that target indigenous peoples in New Zealand, Australia, the United States of America, and Canada. *IJARE* 2020;12.
- Spencer MR, Hedegaard H, Warner M. Unintentional drowning deaths among children aged 0-17 years: United States, 1999-2019. *NCHS Data Brief* 2021:1–8.
- Peden AE, Franklin RC, Leggat PA. Developing drowning prevention strategies for rivers through the use of a modified delphi process. *Inj Prev* 2020;26:240–7.
- Idris AH, Bierens JLM, Perkins GD, *et al*. Revised Utstein-style recommended guidelines for uniform reporting of data from drowning-related resuscitation: an ILCOR advisory statement. *Resuscitation* 2017;118:147–58.
- World Health Organization (WHO). ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics. 2018. Available: <https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en> [Accessed 4 Feb 2022].
- California Department of Public Health (CDPH). Epi center California injury data online. injury and violence prevention branch, California Department of public Health (producer). 2020. Available: <https://epicenter.cdph.ca.gov/>
- Hills SP, Hobbs M, Tipton MJ, *et al*. The water incident database (WAID) 2012 to 2019: a systematic evaluation of the documenting of UK Drownings. *BMC Public Health* 2021;21:1760.
- Peden AE, Scarr J-P, Mahony AJ. Analysis of fatal Unintentional drowning in Australia 2008-2020: implications for the Australian water safety strategy. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2021;45:248–54.

Supplementary File 1 - Important but Non-essential References, ordered by topic

National level drowning studies, non-United States

Clemens T, Tamim H, Rotondi M, Macpherson AK. A population based study of drowning in Canada. *BMC Public Health*. 2016 Jul 13;16:559. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3221-8>

Nguyen H, Ivers RQ, Pham C, Jagnoor J. Trends of drowning mortality in Vietnam: evidence from the national injury mortality surveillance system. *Inj Prev*. 2020 Feb;26(1):42-48. <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2018-043030>

Hills SP, Hobbs M, Tipton MJ, Barwood MJ. The water incident database (WAID) 2012 to 2019: a systematic evaluation of the documenting of UK drownings. *BMC Public Health*. 2021 Sep 27;21(1):1760. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11827-0>

Peden AE, Scarr JP, Mahony AJ. Analysis of fatal unintentional drowning in Australia 2008-2020: implications for the Australian Water Safety Strategy. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2021 Jun;45(3):248-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.13124>

Rahman A, Alonge O, Bhuiyan AA, Agrawal P, Salam SS, Talab A, Rahman QS, Hyder AA. Epidemiology of Drowning in Bangladesh: An Update. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017 May 5;14(5):488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14050488>

Sub-national level drowning studies, non-United States

Saunders CJ, Adriaanse R, Simons A, van Niekerk A. Fatal drowning in the Western Cape, South Africa: a 7-year retrospective, epidemiological study. *Inj Prev*. 2019;25(6):529-534. <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2018-042945>

Matthews BL, Andrew E, Andronaco R, Cox S, Smith K. Epidemiology of fatal and non-fatal drowning patients attended by paramedics in Victoria, Australia. *Int J Inj Contr Saf Promot*. 2017;24(3):303-310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457300.2016.1175479>

Tellier É, Simonnet B, Gil-Jardiné C, Castelle B, Bailhache M, Salmi LR. Characteristics of drowning victims in a surf environment: a 6-year retrospective study in southwestern France. *Inj Epidemiol*. 2019;6:17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40621-019-0195-x>

Recent national level drowning studies from the United States

Ryan KM, Dugas J, Pina T, Maksimenko Y, Liu J. Drowning injuries in the United States: Patient characteristics, mortality risk, and associated primary diagnoses. *Injury*. 2020;51(11):2560-2564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2020.08.011>

Umapathi KK, Thavamani A, Dhanpalreddy H, Khatana J, Roy A. Incidence Trends and Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality in Drowning in Children and Adolescents in the United States: A National Inpatient Database Analysis. *Clin Pediatr*. 2020;59(2):134-141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922819886871>

Theodorou CM, Rajasekar G, McFadden NR, Brown EG, Nuño M. Epidemiology of paediatric drowning hospitalisations in the USA: a population-based study [published online ahead of print, 2021 Aug 30]. *Inj Prev*. 2021;injuryprev-2021-044257.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2021-044257>

Clemens T, Moreland B, Lee R. Persistent Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Fatal Unintentional Drowning Rates Among Persons Aged ≤ 29 Years - United States, 1999-2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(24):869-874. Published 2021 Jun 18.

<https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7024a1>

California-specific drowning related research from the 1980s and 1990s

Frates RC Jr. Analysis of predictive factors in the assessment of warm-water near-drowning in children. *Am J Dis Child*. 1981;135(11):1006-1008.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.1981.02130350010004>

Wintemute GJ, Kraus JF, Teret SP, Wright M. Drowning in childhood and adolescence: a population-based study. *Am J Public Health*. 1987;77(7):830-832.

<https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.77.7.830>

Wintemute GJ, Teret SP, Kraus JF, Wright M. Alcohol and drowning: an analysis of contributing factors and a discussion of criteria for case selection. *Accid Anal Prev*. 1990;22(3):291-296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575\(90\)90020-l](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575(90)90020-l)

O'Carroll PW, Alkon E, Weiss B. Drowning mortality in Los Angeles County, 1976 to 1984. *JAMA*. 1988;260(3):380-383. Accessed 4 February 2022. Available from:

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3379748/>

Shinaberger CS, Anderson CL, Kraus JF. Young children who drown in hot tubs, spas, and whirlpools in California: a 26-year survey. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80(5):613-614.

<https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.80.5.613>

Habib DM, Tecklenburg FW, Webb SA, Anas NG, Perkin RM. Prediction of childhood drowning and near-drowning morbidity and mortality. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 1996;12(4):255-258. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006565-199608000-00005>

Ellis AA, Trent RB. Swimming pool drownings and near-drownings among California preschoolers. *Public Health Rep*. 1997;112(1):73-77. Accessed 4 February 2022.

Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1381843/>

Morgenstern H, Bingham T, Reza A. Effects of pool-fencing ordinances and other factors on childhood drowning in Los Angeles County, 1990-1995. *Am J Public Health*.

2000;90(4):595-601. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.90.4.595>

California's regions and waterways

California Complete Count Office. State of California. Regions, 2021. Accessed 10 August, 2021. Accessed 22 January 2022. Available from: <https://census.ca.gov/regions/>

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Shoreline Mileage Of the United States. Office for Coastal Management, NOAA; 1975. Accessed 22 January 2022.

Available from: <https://coast.noaa.gov/data/docs/states/shorelines.pdf>

US Forest Service. Rivers in California. National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. Accessed 22 January 2022. Available from: <https://www.rivers.gov/california.php>

Mariotti, T. Swimming Pool Statistics 2023. Ruby Home Luxury Real Estate. Accessed 6 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.rubyhome.com/blog/swimming-pool-stats/>

Surveillance tools and datasets

California Department of Public Health. Epi Center California Injury Data [Online]. Save and Active Communities Branch, California Department of Public Health. 2010. Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: <https://epicenter.cdph.ca.gov/ReportMenus/CustomTables.aspx>

California Department of Public Health (CDPH). California Comprehensive Death File (Static), [2005 – 2013]. Compiled 18 August 2021.

California Department of Public Health (CDPH). California Comprehensive Master Death File (Static), [2014 – 2019]. Compiled 18 August 2021.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Web-based injury statistics query and reporting system (WISQARS) [Online]. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (producer); 2003. Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars

Day JC. Population projections of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1995 to 2050, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P25–1130, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington. 1996. Accessed 9 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/1996/demo/p25-1130.pdf>

United States Department of Health and Human Services. Multiple Cause of Death 1999–2019 on CDC WONDER Online Database, released 2020. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: <https://wonder.cdc.gov/wonder/help/mcd.html>

U.S. Census Bureau. (2012). *2009–2011 American Community Survey 3-year Public Use Microdata Samples* [SAS Data file]. Accessed 22 October 2021. Available from: <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t>

Death certificate and cause of death reporting

California Health and Human Services Agency (CHHS). Data De-identification Guidelines, Version 2.1. California Department of Health Care Services; 2016. Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: [https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/dataandstats/Documents/DHCS-DDG-V2.1-010821%20\(1\).pdf](https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/dataandstats/Documents/DHCS-DDG-V2.1-010821%20(1).pdf)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Medical examiners' and coroners' handbook on death registration and fetal death reporting. National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services; 2003. Accessed 22 September 2021. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/misc/hb_me.pdf

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Instructions for Classification of Underlying and Multiple Causes of Death Instruction Manual. National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services; 2018. Accessed 22 September 2021 <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/manuals/2a-sectioni-2021.htm>

World Health Organization (WHO). International classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007. Available from: <http://www.who.int/classifications/apps/icd/icd10online>.

Wall MM, Huang J, Oswald J, McCullen D. Factors associated with reporting multiple causes of death. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2005;5(1):4. Published 2005 Jan 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-5-4>

Analysis software and methods

R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2021. Available from: <https://www.R-project.org/>.

RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA. 2020. Available from: <http://www.rstudio.com/>

Klein RJ. Age adjustment using the 2000 projected US population. Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; 2001. Accessed 7 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/statnt/statnt20.pdf>

Stevenson, M., Stevenson, M. M., & BiasedUrn, I. epiR: Tools for the Analysis of Epidemiological Data. R package version 2.0.19. 2021. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epiR>

Tableau Desktop V 2021.2 (Computer Software. Seattle, Washington. Available from: <https://www.tableau.com/products/desktop/download>

Walker K, Eberwein K, Herman M. Tidycensus: Load us census boundary and attribute data as "tidyverse" and "sf" - ready data frames. *R package version 09*. 2018;6. 2021. Available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/tidycensus/index.html>

Ulm K. Simple method to calculate the confidence interval of a standardized mortality ratio (SMR). *Am J Epidemiol*. 1990;131(2):373-375.

Supplementary File 2 - California Drowning Study Bodies of Water Definitions and Coding Methods

Definitions

The following definitions were adapted from the Royal Life Saving Australia Drowning Data Definitions Manual (2014) and the Surf Life Saving Australia Coastal Fatality Dataset definitions (2020).

Location Category	Examples	Explanation
Bathtub	Baths, showers, spa baths	Receptacles for water commonly used for bathing that are emptied after use. Spa baths are receptacles for water commonly used for both bathing and relaxation that generally have water jets and are emptied after use. Note a spa and spa bath are slightly different. Normally a spa has a filter, and a spa bath does not, and rather is emptied on a regular basis. Showers included in this code.
Coastal	Ocean, beach, harbor, bay, wetlands, estuary, rock platform	Open ocean waters and large bodies of tidal waters (e.g. harbor/bay/inlet/estuary). Includes areas up to three nautical miles offshore. At the mouth of a river, the coastal boundary is the point upstream calculated by multiplying the width of the river/inlet mouth by five. (Adopted from the Resource Management Amendment Act 1993 – New Zealand). Note - San Francisco/ Suisun Bay is classified as 'Coastal' until the Legal Delta Boundary as established under the Delta Protection Act, Section 12220 of the California Water Code (1959)
Lake/pond/reservoir	Lake, pond, reservoir, dam, lagoon (non-tidal), swimming hole, gorge, pond	A body of water, either fresh or salt water, which may vary in size and is surrounded by land and predominantly stagnate (e.g. Swimming holes or gorges). May be naturally occurring or man-made.
River/Canal	River, creek, stream, canal, aqueduct, slough, flood plain, weir, irrigation channel	A natural or man-made waterway generally fed by other bodies of water. Can vary in water flow, length, width and depth. Use this category where water moves or has the ability to move generally in one direction.
Swimming Pool	Includes the following types of Swimming pools – · Aquatic amusement · Home · Temporary residence · Portable · Public · Spa/Jacuzzi	As defined in the 1995 California Swimming Pool Safety Act: <i>“Swimming pool” or “pool” means any structure intended for swimming or recreational bathing that contains water over 18 inches deep. “Swimming pool” includes in-ground and aboveground structures and includes, but is not limited to, hot tubs, spas, portable spas, and nonportable wading pools.</i> This location category does not include spa baths.

	· Other Unknown	
Other	Fountain, container, fish pond, drain, sink, washing machine, tank, toilet, feeding trough, holes in ground, pipes, ditches, Arroyo/wash	Code used for cases where location is less common or does not fit into above codes.
Unknown Natural Water	Unknown	Location of the drowning incident is not known, but the underlying or record axis multiple cause codes indicate that the drowning occurred in "Natural Water" (W69/W70)
Unknown	Unknown	Location of the drowning incident is not known

Coding Location Categories from Death Data

Ideally, each case should be manually verified, and a body of water determined. However, it may be impractical and unfeasible to do this when working with a large number of case files, as with the initial body of water location coding for the California Drowning Study. The following methodology was developed to reduce the number of cases where manual verification is required.

First, we developed a "location scrape" field by combining the text from the "Place of injury", "Describe how injury occurred", and "Injury location description" variables into a single character string separated by a semicolon. Next, in an iterative development process, we wrote a short script using the dplyr package in R Studio (Wickham et al. 2021, R Core Team 2020) to automatically designate the body of water based on an ordered, multi-part "if/then" key word search.

While developing the optimal order and words to include, we checked word frequencies in the "Location Scrape" category to refine and improve the auto classification procedure. Of note, several cases were auto classified for manual review (e.g. body of water designation includes the word "check"). Manual verification was completed by a single researcher who consulted with a second member of the research team if there was ambiguity or unclear information, and included:

- Any case where body of water keywords appeared together, or in the city name (e.g. "backyard pool in Lakeside"; "river mouth near ocean beach").
- All cases with the words "Spa", "Jacuzzi", or "Driver" were manually reviewed: spa/jacuzzi cases can be confusing and are frequently coded as swimming pool deaths, and searches for the word "river" incorrectly auto classified cases including the word "driver".
- Cases where key words might refer to more than one category were mapped and manually checked. For example, where a "beach" or "marina" might refer to an inland lake vs the more common coastal site.

The final ordered, if/then key word auto designation is below in Table 1.

Table 1: Order of autoclassification body of water designation based on specific variables

Order	Body of Water Designation	Search Fields		
		Underlying ICD10 Cause of Death	Location Scrape	Injury Location City
1	Check - SPA		SPA OR JACUZZI OR HOT TUB	
2	CHECK - DRIVER		DRIVER	
3	CHECK - MULTI		OCEAN AND RIVER	
4	CHECK - MULTI		OCEAN AND LAKE	
5	CHECK - MULTI		OCEAN AND POOL	
6	CHECK - MULTI		LAKE AND RIVER	
7	CHECK - MULTI		LAKE AND POOL	
8	CHECK - MULTI		RIVER AND POOL	
9	CHECK - MULTI		POND AND CREEK	
10	CHECK - MULTI		LAKE AND CREEK	
11	CHECK - CITY		RIVER	RIVER
12	CHECK - CITY		BEACH	BEACH
13	Bathtub	bathtub		
14	Bathtub		bathtub OR bath tub	
15	Pool	swimming pool		
16	Pool	swimming-pool		
17	Pool		POOL	
18	Coastal		OCEAN	
19	River/Canal		RIVER	
20	Lake/Pond		LAKE	
21	Geo Check - Beach		BEACH	
22	Geo Check - Bay		BAY	
23	River/Canal		CANAL	
24	Pond		POND	
25	Lake/Pond		RESERVIOR OR RESERVOIR	
26	River/Canal		CREEK	
27	River/Canal		AQUEDUCT	
28	River/Canal		SLOUGH	
29	Geo Check - Lagoon		LAGOON	
30	Geo Check - Harbor		MARINA OR HARBOR	
31	Other		DITCH	
32	Other		FOUNTAIN	
33	Other		BUCKET	
34	UNKNOWN NATURAL WATER	NATURAL WATER		
36	Manual Check Required			

References

- California Delta Protection Act (1959). California Water Code, Section 12220. Available from: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=PRC&division=19.5.&title=&part=&chapter=1.&article=
- California Swimming Pool Safety Act (1995). California Health and Safety Code, Division 104, Part 10, Chapter 5: Safe Recreational Water Use. Available from: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=HSC&division=104.&title=&part=10.&chapter=5.&article=2.5.
- New Zealand Resource Management Amendment Act of 1993. Public act No. 65; 1993. Available from: <http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1993/0065/latest/DLM302693.html>
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Royal Life Saving Australia (2014). Drowning data definitions manual. Royal Life Saving Australia, Sydney.
- Surf Life Saving Australia (2020) Coastal Fatality Dataset definitions. Surf Life Saving Australia, Sydney.
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., Müller, K. (2021). dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R package version 1.0.7. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>.

Cumulative five-year total, unintentional, and intentional California fatal drowning rates per 100,000 resident population, 2005 - 2019

		Fatal drowning rate per 100,000 population (95% Confidence Intervals)		
		2005 - 2009	2010 - 2014	2015 - 2019
Total population				
	Total	1.54 (1.49-1.6)	1.38 (1.33-1.43)	1.51 (1.46-1.57)
	Unintentional	1.31 (1.26-1.36)	1.14 (1.09-1.19)	1.21 (1.17-1.26)
	Intentional	0.16 (0.14-0.18)	0.16 (0.15-0.18)	0.23 (0.21-0.25)
Age				
0-4	Total	2.7 (2.43-3)	2.25 (2-2.53)	2.09 (1.84-2.36)
	Unintentional	2.49 (2.23-2.77)	2.05 (1.81-2.32)	1.93 (1.7-2.2)
	Intentional	0.13 (0.08-0.21)	0.11 (0.06-0.19)	0.12 (0.07-0.2)
5-14	Total	0.44 (0.36-0.53)	0.35 (0.28-0.44)	0.44 (0.36-0.53)
	Unintentional	0.42 (0.34-0.51)	0.33 (0.26-0.41)	0.4 (0.33-0.49)
	Intentional	0.01 (0-0.03)	0.02 (0-0.04)	0.02 (0.01-0.05)
15-24	Total	1.44 (1.3-1.59)	1.09 (0.97-1.22)	1.32 (1.19-1.47)
	Unintentional	1.28 (1.14-1.42)	0.88 (0.78-1)	0.97 (0.86-1.1)
	Intentional	0.11 (0.07-0.16)	0.16 (0.12-0.22)	0.27 (0.22-0.35)
25-34	Total	1.33 (1.19-1.47)	1.31 (1.17-1.45)	1.33 (1.21-1.47)
	Unintentional	1.13 (1-1.26)	1.03 (0.91-1.16)	1.02 (0.91-1.14)
	Intentional	0.16 (0.11-0.21)	0.2 (0.15-0.26)	0.23 (0.18-0.3)
35-44	Total	1.29 (1.16-1.43)	1.16 (1.03-1.3)	1.4 (1.26-1.55)
	Unintentional	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	0.92 (0.81-1.05)	1.03 (0.91-1.16)
	Intentional	0.21 (0.16-0.28)	0.19 (0.14-0.25)	0.27 (0.21-0.34)
45-54	Total	1.8 (1.64-1.97)	1.59 (1.44-1.75)	1.58 (1.43-1.74)
	Unintentional	1.43 (1.29-1.59)	1.25 (1.12-1.4)	1.2 (1.07-1.35)
	Intentional	0.24 (0.18-0.31)	0.22 (0.16-0.28)	0.27 (0.21-0.34)
55-64	Total	1.74 (1.55-1.95)	1.74 (1.56-1.92)	1.8 (1.63-1.98)
	Unintentional	1.42 (1.25-1.6)	1.44 (1.28-1.61)	1.38 (1.23-1.54)
	Intentional	0.22 (0.16-0.3)	0.21 (0.16-0.28)	0.33 (0.26-0.41)
65-74	Total	1.53 (1.3-1.79)	1.88 (1.65-2.13)	2.13 (1.91-2.37)
	Unintentional	1.35 (1.13-1.59)	1.58 (1.37-1.82)	1.86 (1.65-2.08)
	Intentional	0.15 (0.08-0.24)	0.17 (0.11-0.26)	0.21 (0.15-0.3)
75-84	Total	3.07 (2.67-3.51)	2.19 (1.86-2.56)	2.41 (2.08-2.78)
	Unintentional	2.65 (2.28-3.06)	1.86 (1.56-2.21)	2.16 (1.85-2.51)
	Intentional	0.29 (0.18-0.45)	0.2 (0.11-0.33)	0.19 (0.1-0.31)
85+	Total	3.9 (3.19-4.72)	3.15 (2.57-3.83)	3.42 (2.84-4.08)
	Unintentional	3.39 (2.73-4.15)	2.72 (2.18-3.35)	3 (2.46-3.62)

Sex	Intentional	0.44 (0.23-0.77)	0.22 (0.09-0.45)	0.39 (0.21-0.66)		
	Female	Total	0.84 (0.78-0.9)	0.76 (0.7-0.82)	0.84 (0.79-0.9)	
		Unintentional	0.68 (0.63-0.73)	0.59 (0.54-0.64)	0.63 (0.58-0.68)	
	Male	Intentional	0.12 (0.1-0.14)	0.12 (0.1-0.15)	0.18 (0.15-0.21)	
		Total	2.25 (2.15-2.35)	2.01 (1.92-2.1)	2.19 (2.1-2.29)	
		Unintentional	1.94 (1.85-2.04)	1.7 (1.62-1.79)	1.8 (1.72-1.89)	
Race	Intentional	0.21 (0.18-0.24)	0.21 (0.18-0.24)	0.27 (0.24-0.31)		
	AI/AN, non-Hispanic	Total	2.43 (1.48-3.75)	3.29 (2.11-4.9)	2.84 (1.73-4.39)	
		Unintentional	2.31 (1.39-3.6)	3.02 (1.89-4.57)	2.7 (1.62-4.21)	
		Intentional	0.12 (0-0.68)	0.27 (0.03-0.99)	0 (0-0.52)	
	Asian, non-Hispanic	Total	1.3 (1.15-1.46)	1.24 (1.1-1.38)	1.36 (1.23-1.5)	
		Unintentional	1.03 (0.9-1.18)	0.94 (0.83-1.07)	1.04 (0.93-1.17)	
		Intentional	0.21 (0.15-0.28)	0.21 (0.16-0.27)	0.25 (0.2-0.32)	
	Black, non-Hispanic	Total	1.87 (1.62-2.14)	1.83 (1.58-2.1)	2.03 (1.77-2.31)	
		Unintentional	1.57 (1.34-1.82)	1.54 (1.31-1.79)	1.67 (1.43-1.93)	
		Intentional	0.18 (0.11-0.28)	0.18 (0.11-0.28)	0.25 (0.16-0.36)	
	Hawaiian / PI, non-Hispanic	Total	1.46 (0.67-2.77)	1.47 (0.7-2.7)	1.42 (0.68-2.61)	
		Unintentional	1.13 (0.46-2.34)	1.32 (0.6-2.5)	0.85 (0.31-1.86)	
		Intentional	0.16 (0-0.9)	0 (0-0.54)	0.43 (0.09-1.25)	
	Hispanic	Total	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	0.86 (0.79-0.93)	0.97 (0.9-1.04)	
		Unintentional	1.01 (0.93-1.09)	0.75 (0.69-0.81)	0.83 (0.77-0.9)	
		Intentional	0.05 (0.04-0.07)	0.07 (0.06-0.1)	0.1 (0.07-0.12)	
	Two or more races	Total	1.49 (1.13-1.94)	1.44 (1.13-1.8)	1.62 (1.31-1.97)	
		Unintentional	1.21 (0.88-1.61)	1.11 (0.84-1.44)	1.19 (0.93-1.51)	
		Intentional	0.16 (0.06-0.34)	0.15 (0.07-0.3)	0.32 (0.19-0.5)	
	Other, non-Hispanic	Total	1.74 (0.87-3.11)	3.66 (2.05-6.04)	1.8 (0.82-3.41)	
		Unintentional	1.42 (0.65-2.7)	2.2 (1.01-4.17)	0.6 (0.12-1.75)	
		Intentional	0.16 (0-0.88)	1.22 (0.4-2.85)	1.2 (0.44-2.61)	
	White, non-Hispanic	Total	1.93 (1.83-2.03)	1.84 (1.74-1.94)	2.03 (1.93-2.14)	
		Unintentional	1.6 (1.51-1.69)	1.51 (1.43-1.6)	1.6 (1.51-1.7)	
		Intentional	0.24 (0.21-0.28)	0.23 (0.2-0.27)	0.33 (0.29-0.38)	
	Body of water	Bathtub	Total	0.17 (0.15-0.19)	0.18 (0.16-0.2)	0.18 (0.16-0.19)
			Unintentional	0.14 (0.12-0.16)	0.14 (0.13-0.16)	0.15 (0.13-0.16)
Intentional			0.02 (0.01-0.02)	0.02 (0.01-0.02)	0.03 (0.02-0.03)	
Coastal		Total	0.25 (0.23-0.28)	0.27 (0.25-0.29)	0.32 (0.3-0.35)	
		Unintentional	0.17 (0.15-0.19)	0.19 (0.17-0.21)	0.18 (0.17-0.2)	

Region	Lake/Pond	Intentional	0.07 (0.06-0.09)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	0.12 (0.11-0.14)
		Total	0.17 (0.15-0.19)	0.14 (0.12-0.16)	0.15 (0.13-0.17)
		Unintentional	0.16 (0.14-0.18)	0.12 (0.11-0.14)	0.13 (0.12-0.15)
	Pool	Intentional	0.01 (0-0.01)	0.01 (0.01-0.02)	0.01 (0.01-0.02)
		Total	0.46 (0.43-0.49)	0.39 (0.36-0.42)	0.41 (0.38-0.43)
		Unintentional	0.42 (0.4-0.46)	0.36 (0.33-0.38)	0.38 (0.35-0.41)
	River/Canal	Intentional	0.03 (0.02-0.04)	0.03 (0.02-0.03)	0.02 (0.02-0.03)
		Total	0.35 (0.33-0.38)	0.29 (0.26-0.31)	0.31 (0.29-0.34)
		Unintentional	0.32 (0.29-0.35)	0.25 (0.23-0.27)	0.27 (0.25-0.29)
	Unknown/Other	Intentional	0.02 (0.01-0.02)	0.02 (0.02-0.03)	0.02 (0.01-0.03)
		Total	0.14 (0.12-0.15)	0.12 (0.1-0.13)	0.15 (0.13-0.17)
		Unintentional	0.09 (0.08-0.11)	0.08 (0.07-0.1)	0.1 (0.09-0.12)
	North Coast	Intentional	0.02 (0.02-0.03)	0.02 (0.01-0.03)	0.02 (0.02-0.03)
		Total	4.07 (3.51-4.7)	3.46 (2.95-4.03)	3.6 (3.08-4.18)
		Unintentional	3.72 (3.19-4.32)	3.04 (2.57-3.58)	3.21 (2.72-3.75)
	Superior California	Intentional	0.26 (0.13-0.46)	0.29 (0.16-0.49)	0.25 (0.13-0.43)
		Total	2.54 (2.29-2.81)	2.24 (2.01-2.48)	2.31 (2.08-2.56)
		Unintentional	2.19 (1.95-2.44)	1.91 (1.69-2.14)	1.95 (1.74-2.18)
	San Francisco Bay Area	Intentional	0.18 (0.11-0.26)	0.14 (0.09-0.22)	0.16 (0.1-0.23)
		Total	1.24 (1.12-1.37)	1.19 (1.08-1.32)	1.5 (1.37-1.63)
		Unintentional	0.78 (0.68-0.88)	0.73 (0.65-0.83)	0.83 (0.74-0.93)
	Central Coast	Intentional	0.33 (0.27-0.4)	0.3 (0.25-0.37)	0.51 (0.44-0.6)
		Total	1.86 (1.62-2.14)	1.82 (1.58-2.08)	1.94 (1.69-2.21)
		Unintentional	1.52 (1.3-1.77)	1.57 (1.35-1.82)	1.52 (1.3-1.76)
	N. San Joaquin Valley	Intentional	0.28 (0.19-0.4)	0.19 (0.12-0.29)	0.32 (0.23-0.45)
		Total	3.31 (2.94-3.71)	2.98 (2.63-3.35)	2.61 (2.3-2.96)
		Unintentional	3.09 (2.73-3.48)	2.74 (2.41-3.11)	2.42 (2.12-2.76)
	S. San Joaquin Valley	Intentional	0.1 (0.05-0.2)	0.22 (0.14-0.34)	0.13 (0.07-0.22)
		Total	2.31 (2.04-2.61)	1.71 (1.49-1.96)	2.22 (1.97-2.5)
		Unintentional	2.15 (1.89-2.44)	1.58 (1.37-1.82)	2.01 (1.77-2.28)
	Los Angeles	Intentional	0.08 (0.04-0.15)	0.07 (0.03-0.14)	0.16 (0.1-0.25)
		Total	0.81 (0.73-0.89)	0.75 (0.68-0.83)	0.79 (0.71-0.87)
		Unintentional	0.7 (0.63-0.78)	0.65 (0.58-0.72)	0.68 (0.61-0.76)
	Orange	Intentional	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	0.09 (0.07-0.12)
		Total	1.16 (0.99-1.34)	1.21 (1.04-1.4)	1.24 (1.07-1.42)
		Unintentional	0.94 (0.79-1.11)	1.04 (0.88-1.21)	1.04 (0.88-1.21)
Inland Empire	Intentional	0.17 (0.11-0.25)	0.12 (0.07-0.18)	0.15 (0.1-0.23)	
	Total				

San Diego – Imperial	Total	1.48 (1.32-1.66)	1.05 (0.92-1.2)	1.25 (1.11-1.41)
	Unintentional	1.4 (1.24-1.58)	0.95 (0.82-1.09)	1.15 (1.01-1.3)
	Intentional	0.06 (0.03-0.1)	0.07 (0.04-0.11)	0.09 (0.05-0.14)
	Total	1.47 (1.29-1.68)	1.42 (1.25-1.61)	1.53 (1.35-1.73)
	Unintentional	1.26 (1.09-1.45)	1.05 (0.9-1.22)	1.13 (0.97-1.3)
	Intentional	0.19 (0.13-0.27)	0.34 (0.26-0.44)	0.38 (0.29-0.48)

Supplemental File 4 - Additional California fatal drowning variables, 2005 -2019.

	Unintentional		Intentional		Undetermined		All Intentions	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total	7,624	100%	1,152	100%	461	100%	9,237	100%
Year of death								
2005	567	7.4%	73	6.3%	27	5.9%	667	7.2%
2006	568	7.5%	75	6.5%	38	8.2%	681	7.4%
2007	481	6.3%	52	4.5%	22	4.8%	555	6%
2008	475	6.2%	59	5.1%	27	5.9%	561	6.1%
2009	517	6.8%	59	5.1%	28	6.1%	604	6.5%
2010	502	6.6%	66	5.7%	28	6.1%	596	6.5%
2011	453	5.9%	60	5.2%	38	8.2%	551	6%
2012	456	6%	65	5.6%	33	7.2%	554	6%
2013	494	6.5%	78	6.8%	38	8.2%	610	6.6%
2014	487	6.4%	73	6.3%	15	3.3%	575	6.2%
2015	468	6.1%	91	7.9%	28	6.1%	587	6.4%
2016	515	6.8%	96	8.3%	38	8.2%	649	7%
2017	559	7.3%	93	8.1%	34	7.4%	686	7.4%
2018	544	7.1%	101	8.8%	37	8%	682	7.4%
2019	538	7.1%	111	9.6%	30	6.5%	679	7.4%
Month of Death								
Jan	460	6%	96	8.3%	39	8.5%	595	6.4%
Feb	409	5.4%	97	8.4%	34	7.4%	540	5.8%
Mar	514	6.7%	107	9.3%	38	8.2%	659	7.1%
Apr	569	7.5%	86	7.5%	41	8.9%	696	7.5%
May	676	8.9%	113	9.8%	41	8.9%	830	9%
Jun	985	12.9%	101	8.8%	40	8.7%	1,126	12.2%
Jul	1,221	16%	90	7.8%	53	11.5%	1,364	14.8%
Aug	889	11.7%	99	8.6%	41	8.9%	1,029	11.1%
Sep	626	8.2%	107	9.3%	43	9.3%	776	8.4%
Oct	466	6.1%	99	8.6%	33	7.2%	598	6.5%
Nov	412	5.4%	82	7.1%	27	5.9%	521	5.6%
Dec	397	5.2%	75	6.5%	31	6.7%	503	5.4%
Highest education								
Did not attend school	973	12.8%	53	4.6%	33	7.2%	1,059	11.5%
1st - 6th grade	576	7.6%	26	2.3%	23	5%	625	6.8%
7th - 12th grade, no HS diploma	964	12.6%	68	5.9%	61	13.2%	1,093	11.8%
High School	1,981	26%	320	27.8%	126	27.3%	2,427	26.3%
Some College	1,161	15.2%	245	21.3%	91	19.7%	1,497	16.2%
Associate & Bachelor	1,185	15.5%	293	25.4%	65	14.1%	1,543	16.7%
Doctorate & Master's	477	6.3%	89	7.7%	20	4.3%	586	6.3%

Professional	76	1%	**		*		104	1.1%
Unknown	231	3%	**		**		303	3.3%
Marital Status								
Single	3,732	49%	584	50.7%	241	52.3%	4,557	49.3%
Married / Domestic Partnership	2,234	29.3%	312	27.1%	93	20.2%	2,639	28.6%
Divorced	1,042	13.7%	173	15%	83	18%	1,298	14.1%
Widowed / Surviving Partner	450	5.9%	57	4.9%	22	4.8%	529	5.7%
Unknown	166	2.2%	26	2.3%	22	4.8%	214	2.3%
Region of residence at time of death								
North Coast	335	4.4%	51	4.4%	15	3.3%	401	4.3%
Superior California	881	11.6%	93	8.1%	93	20.2%	1,067	11.6%
San Francisco Bay Area	1,107	14.5%	344	29.9%	140	30.4%	1,591	17.2%
Central Coast	455	6%	79	6.9%	22	4.8%	556	6%
N. San Joaquin Valley	624	8.2%	42	3.6%	17	3.7%	683	7.4%
S. San Joaquin Valley	589	7.7%	41	3.6%	21	4.6%	651	7%
Los Angeles	1,189	15.6%	130	11.3%	50	10.8%	1,369	14.8%
Orange	483	6.3%	68	5.9%	21	4.6%	572	6.2%
Inland Empire	734	9.6%	58	5%	16	3.5%	808	8.7%
San Diego – Imperial	542	7.1%	145	12.6%	16	3.5%	703	7.6%
Other State or Canadian Province	407	5.3%	70	6.1%	30	6.5%	507	5.5%
Other Country (Non USA/Canada)	222	2.9%	16	1.4%	12	2.6%	250	2.7%
Unknown	56	0.7%	15	1.3%	8	1.7%	79	0.9%
Time between injury and death, if known								
0 - Same day	4,607	60.4%	720	62.5%	176	38.2%	5,503	59.6%
1 day	611	8%	43	3.7%	15	3.3%	669	7.2%
2 - 4 days	500	6.6%	33	2.9%	17	3.7%	550	6%
5 - 89 days	572	7.5%	69	6%	13	2.8%	654	7.1%
90 days - year	24	0.3%	*		*		29	0.3%
Over 1 year	21	0.3%	*		*		22	0.2%
Unknown	1,289	16.9%	285	24.7%	236	51.2%	1,810	19.6%

*Values <11 not visible to protect the confidentiality of individuals summarized in the data

** Values ≥11 not visible to protect the confidentiality of individuals summarized in the data

Supplementary File 5: Joinpoint Regression Output

See the Joinpoint Help Manual 4.9.1.0 for full description of model output. Available from:

https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/Joinpoint_Help_4.9.1.0.pdf

Annual Percent Change


Rate Type	Segment	Segment Start	Segment End	Annual Percent Change (APC) Point Estimate	APC 95% Confidence Interval	Test Statistic	P-Value
All Intents	0	2005	2011	-3.9	-7 - -0.7	-2.7	0.023
	1	2011	2019	2.4	0.2 - 4.6	2.4	0.035
Unintentional	0	2005	2011	-4.3	-7.4 - -1.1	-3	0.013
	1	2011	2019	1.9	-0.3 - 4.2	1.9	0.08
Intentional	0	2005	2007	-18.6	-34.3 - 0.8	-2.1	0.058
	1	2007	2019	5	3.7 - 6.3	8.6	<0.001
Undetermined	0	2005	2019	-0.4	-3.4 - 2.7	-0.3	0.771

Output Data

Rate Type	Year	Rate Estimate	Model Estimate	Standard Error	Flag	Joinpoints	Final Selected Model
All Intents	2005	1.69	1.68	0.07	NA	1	1
	2006	1.71	1.61	0.07	NA	1	1
	2007	1.39	1.55	0.06	NA	1	1
	2008	1.4	1.49	0.06	NA	1	1
	2009	1.53	1.43	0.06	NA	1	1
	2010	1.43	1.37	0.06	NA	1	1
	2011	1.29	1.32	0.06	Joinpoint 1	1	1
	2012	1.33	1.35	0.06	NA	1	1
	2013	1.47	1.38	0.06	NA	1	1
	2014	1.38	1.42	0.06	NA	1	1
	2015	1.33	1.45	0.06	NA	1	1
	2016	1.48	1.49	0.06	NA	1	1
	2017	1.6	1.52	0.06	NA	1	1
	2018	1.59	1.56	0.06	NA	1	1
2019	1.56	1.6	0.06	NA	1	1	
Unintentional	2005	1.43	1.43	0.06	NA	1	1
	2006	1.42	1.37	0.06	NA	1	1
	2007	1.2	1.31	0.06	NA	1	1
	2008	1.19	1.25	0.06	NA	1	1
	2009	1.31	1.2	0.06	NA	1	1
	2010	1.2	1.15	0.06	NA	1	1
	2011	1.06	1.1	0.05	Joinpoint 1	1	1


	2012	1.09	1.12	0.05	NA	1	1
	2013	1.19	1.14	0.06	NA	1	1
	2014	1.18	1.16	0.06	NA	1	1
	2015	1.05	1.18	0.05	NA	1	1
	2016	1.18	1.21	0.05	NA	1	1
	2017	1.31	1.23	0.06	NA	1	1
	2018	1.29	1.25	0.06	NA	1	1
	2019	1.25	1.28	0.06	NA	1	1
Intentional	2005	0.19	0.2	0.02	NA	1	1
	2006	0.19	0.17	0.02	NA	1	1
	2007	0.13	0.14	0.02	Joinpoint 1	1	1
	2008	0.14	0.14	0.02	NA	1	1
	2009	0.15	0.15	0.02	NA	1	1
	2010	0.17	0.16	0.02	NA	1	1
	2011	0.14	0.16	0.02	NA	1	1
	2012	0.16	0.17	0.02	NA	1	1
	2013	0.18	0.18	0.02	NA	1	1
	2014	0.17	0.19	0.02	NA	1	1
	2015	0.21	0.2	0.02	NA	1	1
	2016	0.22	0.21	0.02	NA	1	1
	2017	0.22	0.22	0.02	NA	1	1
	2018	0.23	0.23	0.02	NA	1	1
	2019	0.25	0.24	0.03	NA	1	1
Undetermined	2005	0.07	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2006	0.1	0.08	0.02	NA	0	0
	2007	0.05	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2008	0.07	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2009	0.07	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2010	0.06	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2011	0.09	0.07	0.02	NA	0	0
	2012	0.08	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2013	0.1	0.07	0.02	NA	0	0
	2014	0.04	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2015	0.07	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2016	0.08	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2017	0.07	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2018	0.07	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2019	0.06	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0

All Intents




* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
 Final Selected Model: 1 Joinpoint.

Unintentional




* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
 Final Selected Model: 1 Joinpoint.

Intentional



* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
Final Selected Model: 1 Joinpoint.

Undetermined



* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
Final Selected Model: 0 Joinpoints.


Supplementary File 6 - Age-Sex Standardized Fatal Drowning Rates

Age standardized rates were calculated using the direct method and the 2000 US standard population.^{1,2}

Supplementary File 6, Table 1: Crude and age-sex standardized total, unintentional, intentional, and undetermined fatal drowning rates by year, 2005-2019.

Year	Rate Type	Unintentional	Intentional	Undetermined	All Intents
2005	Crude rate	1.43 (1.31-1.56)	0.19 (0.15-0.24)	0.07 (0.04-0.1)	1.69 (1.56-1.83)
	Standardized rate	1.4 (1.36-1.45)	0.19 (0.17-0.21)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.66 (1.61-1.71)
2006	Crude rate	1.42 (1.3-1.55)	0.19 (0.15-0.24)	0.1 (0.07-0.14)	1.71 (1.58-1.85)
	Standardized rate	1.4 (1.35-1.44)	0.19 (0.18-0.21)	0.1 (0.09-0.11)	1.69 (1.64-1.74)
2007	Crude rate	1.2 (1.09-1.32)	0.13 (0.1-0.18)	0.05 (0.03-0.08)	1.39 (1.27-1.51)
	Standardized rate	1.17 (1.13-1.22)	0.13 (0.12-0.14)	0.05 (0.04-0.06)	1.35 (1.31-1.4)
2008	Crude rate	1.19 (1.08-1.3)	0.14 (0.11-0.19)	0.07 (0.04-0.1)	1.4 (1.28-1.52)
	Standardized rate	1.16 (1.12-1.2)	0.14 (0.13-0.16)	0.06 (0.06-0.08)	1.37 (1.32-1.41)
2009	Crude rate	1.31 (1.19-1.43)	0.15 (0.11-0.2)	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	1.53 (1.41-1.66)
	Standardized rate	1.29 (1.25-1.33)	0.15 (0.14-0.17)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.51 (1.46-1.56)
2010	Crude rate	1.2 (1.09-1.32)	0.17 (0.13-0.21)	0.06 (0.04-0.09)	1.43 (1.31-1.56)
	Standardized rate	1.18 (1.14-1.22)	0.17 (0.15-0.18)	0.06 (0.05-0.07)	1.41 (1.36-1.45)
2011	Crude rate	1.06 (0.96-1.17)	0.14 (0.11-0.19)	0.09 (0.06-0.12)	1.29 (1.18-1.41)
	Standardized rate	1.04 (1-1.08)	0.14 (0.13-0.16)	0.09 (0.08-0.1)	1.27 (1.22-1.31)
2012	Crude rate	1.09 (0.99-1.2)	0.16 (0.12-0.21)	0.08 (0.06-0.12)	1.33 (1.22-1.45)
	Standardized rate	1.06 (1.02-1.1)	0.16 (0.15-0.18)	0.08 (0.07-0.09)	1.29 (1.25-1.34)
2013	Crude rate	1.19 (1.08-1.3)	0.18 (0.14-0.23)	0.1 (0.07-0.13)	1.47 (1.35-1.59)
	Standardized rate	1.16 (1.12-1.2)	0.18 (0.16-0.19)	0.09 (0.08-0.11)	1.43 (1.38-1.47)
2014	Crude rate	1.18 (1.07-1.29)	0.17 (0.13-0.21)	0.04 (0.02-0.06)	1.38 (1.26-1.5)
	Standardized rate	1.14 (1.1-1.18)	0.16 (0.14-0.17)	0.03 (0.03-0.04)	1.33 (1.29-1.38)
2015	Crude rate	1.05 (0.95-1.16)	0.21 (0.17-0.26)	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	1.33 (1.22-1.45)
	Standardized rate	1.02 (0.98-1.05)	0.2 (0.19-0.22)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.29 (1.25-1.33)
2016	Crude rate	1.18 (1.07-1.29)	0.22 (0.18-0.27)	0.08 (0.06-0.12)	1.48 (1.37-1.61)
	Standardized rate	1.13 (1.09-1.17)	0.21 (0.19-0.22)	0.08 (0.07-0.09)	1.41 (1.37-1.46)
2017	Crude rate	1.31 (1.2-1.43)	0.22 (0.18-0.27)	0.07 (0.05-0.11)	1.6 (1.48-1.73)
	Standardized rate	1.25 (1.21-1.29)	0.21 (0.19-0.23)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.53 (1.48-1.58)
2018	Crude rate	1.29 (1.18-1.4)	0.23 (0.18-0.28)	0.07 (0.05-0.11)	1.59 (1.47-1.72)
	Standardized rate	1.23 (1.19-1.27)	0.23 (0.21-0.24)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.53 (1.48-1.58)
2019	Crude rate	1.25 (1.14-1.37)	0.25 (0.2-0.3)	0.06 (0.04-0.09)	1.56 (1.44-1.69)
	Standardized rate	1.2 (1.16-1.25)	0.25 (0.23-0.27)	0.06 (0.05-0.07)	1.51 (1.46-1.56)

Supplementary File 6, Figure 1: Crude (line) and age-sex adjusted (circle) total, unintentional, intentional, and undetermined fatal drowning rates by year, 2005-2019.



Supplementary File 6 References

1. Klein RJ. Age adjustment using the 2000 projected US population. Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; 2001. Accessed 7 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/statnt/statnt20.pdf>
2. Day JC. Population projections of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1995 to 2050, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P25-1130, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington. 1996. Accessed 7 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/1996/demo/p25-1130.pdf>

Supplementary File 1 - Important but Non-essential References, ordered by topic**National level drowning studies, non-United States**

Clemens T, Tamim H, Rotondi M, Macpherson AK. A population based study of drowning in Canada. *BMC Public Health*. 2016 Jul 13;16:559. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3221-8>

Nguyen H, Ivers RQ, Pham C, Jagnoor J. Trends of drowning mortality in Vietnam: evidence from the national injury mortality surveillance system. *Inj Prev*. 2020 Feb;26(1):42-48. <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2018-043030>

Hills SP, Hobbs M, Tipton MJ, Barwood MJ. The water incident database (WAID) 2012 to 2019: a systematic evaluation of the documenting of UK drownings. *BMC Public Health*. 2021 Sep 27;21(1):1760. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11827-0>

Peden AE, Scarr JP, Mahony AJ. Analysis of fatal unintentional drowning in Australia 2008-2020: implications for the Australian Water Safety Strategy. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2021 Jun;45(3):248-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.13124>

Rahman A, Alonge O, Bhuiyan AA, Agrawal P, Salam SS, Talab A, Rahman QS, Hyder AA. Epidemiology of Drowning in Bangladesh: An Update. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017 May 5;14(5):488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14050488>

Sub-national level drowning studies, non-United States

Saunders CJ, Adriaanse R, Simons A, van Niekerk A. Fatal drowning in the Western Cape, South Africa: a 7-year retrospective, epidemiological study. *Inj Prev*. 2019;25(6):529-534. <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2018-042945>

Matthews BL, Andrew E, Andronaco R, Cox S, Smith K. Epidemiology of fatal and non-fatal drowning patients attended by paramedics in Victoria, Australia. *Int J Inj Contr Saf Promot*. 2017;24(3):303-310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457300.2016.1175479>

Tellier É, Simonnet B, Gil-Jardiné C, Castelle B, Bailhache M, Salmi LR. Characteristics of drowning victims in a surf environment: a 6-year retrospective study in southwestern France. *Inj Epidemiol*. 2019;6:17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40621-019-0195-x>

Recent national level drowning studies from the United States

Ryan KM, Dugas J, Pina T, Maksimenko Y, Liu J. Drowning injuries in the United States: Patient characteristics, mortality risk, and associated primary diagnoses. *Injury*. 2020;51(11):2560-2564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2020.08.011>

Umapathi KK, Thavamani A, Dhanpalreddy H, Khatana J, Roy A. Incidence Trends and Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality in Drowning in Children and Adolescents in the United States: A National Inpatient Database Analysis. *Clin Pediatr*. 2020;59(2):134-141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922819886871>

Theodorou CM, Rajasekar G, McFadden NR, Brown EG, Nuño M. Epidemiology of paediatric drowning hospitalisations in the USA: a population-based study [published online ahead of print, 2021 Aug 30]. *Inj Prev*. 2021;injuryprev-2021-044257.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2021-044257>

Clemens T, Moreland B, Lee R. Persistent Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Fatal Unintentional Drowning Rates Among Persons Aged ≤ 29 Years - United States, 1999-2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(24):869-874. Published 2021 Jun 18.

<https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7024a1>

California-specific drowning related research from the 1980s and 1990s

Frates RC Jr. Analysis of predictive factors in the assessment of warm-water near-drowning in children. *Am J Dis Child*. 1981;135(11):1006-1008.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.1981.02130350010004>

Wintemute GJ, Kraus JF, Teret SP, Wright M. Drowning in childhood and adolescence: a population-based study. *Am J Public Health*. 1987;77(7):830-832.

<https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.77.7.830>

Wintemute GJ, Teret SP, Kraus JF, Wright M. Alcohol and drowning: an analysis of contributing factors and a discussion of criteria for case selection. *Accid Anal Prev*. 1990;22(3):291-296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575\(90\)90020-l](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575(90)90020-l)

O'Carroll PW, Alkon E, Weiss B. Drowning mortality in Los Angeles County, 1976 to 1984. *JAMA*. 1988;260(3):380-383. Accessed 4 February 2022. Available from:

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3379748/>

Shinaberger CS, Anderson CL, Kraus JF. Young children who drown in hot tubs, spas, and whirlpools in California: a 26-year survey. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80(5):613-614.

<https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.80.5.613>

Habib DM, Tecklenburg FW, Webb SA, Anas NG, Perkin RM. Prediction of childhood drowning and near-drowning morbidity and mortality. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 1996;12(4):255-258. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006565-199608000-00005>

Ellis AA, Trent RB. Swimming pool drownings and near-drownings among California preschoolers. *Public Health Rep*. 1997;112(1):73-77. Accessed 4 February 2022.

Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1381843/>

Morgenstern H, Bingham T, Reza A. Effects of pool-fencing ordinances and other factors on childhood drowning in Los Angeles County, 1990-1995. *Am J Public Health*.

2000;90(4):595-601. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.90.4.595>

California's regions and waterways

California Complete Count Office. State of California. Regions, 2021. Accessed 10 August, 2021. Accessed 22 January 2022. Available from: <https://census.ca.gov/regions/>

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Shoreline Mileage Of the United States. Office for Coastal Management, NOAA; 1975. Accessed 22 January 2022.

Available from: <https://coast.noaa.gov/data/docs/states/shorelines.pdf>

US Forest Service. Rivers in California. National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. Accessed 22 January 2022. Available from: <https://www.rivers.gov/california.php>

Mariotti, T. Swimming Pool Statistics 2023. Ruby Home Luxury Real Estate. Accessed 6 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.rubyhome.com/blog/swimming-pool-stats/>

Surveillance tools and datasets

California Department of Public Health. Epi Center California Injury Data [Online]. Save and Active Communities Branch, California Department of Public Health. 2010. Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: <https://epicenter.cdph.ca.gov/ReportMenus/CustomTables.aspx>

California Department of Public Health (CDPH). California Comprehensive Death File (Static), [2005 – 2013]. Compiled 18 August 2021.

California Department of Public Health (CDPH). California Comprehensive Master Death File (Static), [2014 – 2019]. Compiled 18 August 2021.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Web-based injury statistics query and reporting system (WISQARS) [Online]. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (producer); 2003. Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars

Day JC. Population projections of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1995 to 2050, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P25–1130, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington. 1996. Accessed 9 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/1996/demo/p25-1130.pdf>

United States Department of Health and Human Services. Multiple Cause of Death 1999–2019 on CDC WONDER Online Database, released 2020. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: <https://wonder.cdc.gov/wonder/help/mcd.html>

U.S. Census Bureau. (2012). *2009–2011 American Community Survey 3-year Public Use Microdata Samples* [SAS Data file]. Accessed 22 October 2021. Available from: <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t>

Death certificate and cause of death reporting

California Health and Human Services Agency (CHHS). Data De-identification Guidelines, Version 2.1. California Department of Health Care Services; 2016. Accessed 22 December 2021. Available from: [https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/dataandstats/Documents/DHCS-DDG-V2.1-010821%20\(1\).pdf](https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/dataandstats/Documents/DHCS-DDG-V2.1-010821%20(1).pdf)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Medical examiners' and coroners' handbook on death registration and fetal death reporting. National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services; 2003. Accessed 22 September 2021. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/misc/hb_me.pdf

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Instructions for Classification of Underlying and Multiple Causes of Death Instruction Manual. National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services; 2018. Accessed 22 September 2021 <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/manuals/2a-sectioni-2021.htm>

World Health Organization (WHO). International classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007. Available from: <http://www.who.int/classifications/apps/icd/icd10online>.

Wall MM, Huang J, Oswald J, McCullen D. Factors associated with reporting multiple causes of death. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2005;5(1):4. Published 2005 Jan 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-5-4>

Analysis software and methods

R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2021. Available from: <https://www.R-project.org/>.

RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA. 2020. Available from: <http://www.rstudio.com/>

Klein RJ. Age adjustment using the 2000 projected US population. Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; 2001. Accessed 7 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/statnt/statnt20.pdf>

Stevenson, M., Stevenson, M. M., & BiasedUrn, I. epiR: Tools for the Analysis of Epidemiological Data. R package version 2.0.19. 2021. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epiR>

Tableau Desktop V 2021.2 (Computer Software. Seattle, Washington. Available from: <https://www.tableau.com/products/desktop/download>

Walker K, Eberwein K, Herman M. Tidycensus: Load us census boundary and attribute data as "tidyverse" and "sf" - ready data frames. *R package version 09*. 2018;6. 2021. Available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/tidycensus/index.html>

Ulm K. Simple method to calculate the confidence interval of a standardized mortality ratio (SMR). *Am J Epidemiol*. 1990;131(2):373-375.

Supplementary File 2 - California Drowning Study Bodies of Water Definitions and Coding Methods

Definitions

The following definitions were adapted from the Royal Life Saving Australia Drowning Data Definitions Manual (2014) and the Surf Life Saving Australia Coastal Fatality Dataset definitions (2020).

Location Category	Examples	Explanation
Bathtub	Baths, showers, spa baths	Receptacles for water commonly used for bathing that are emptied after use. Spa baths are receptacles for water commonly used for both bathing and relaxation that generally have water jets and are emptied after use. Note a spa and spa bath are slightly different. Normally a spa has a filter, and a spa bath does not, and rather is emptied on a regular basis. Showers included in this code.
Coastal	Ocean, beach, harbor, bay, wetlands, estuary, rock platform	Open ocean waters and large bodies of tidal waters (e.g. harbor/bay/inlet/estuary). Includes areas up to three nautical miles offshore. At the mouth of a river, the coastal boundary is the point upstream calculated by multiplying the width of the river/inlet mouth by five. (Adopted from the Resource Management Amendment Act 1993 – New Zealand). Note - San Francisco/ Suisun Bay is classified as 'Coastal' until the Legal Delta Boundary as established under the Delta Protection Act, Section 12220 of the California Water Code (1959)
Lake/pond/reservoir	Lake, pond, reservoir, dam, lagoon (non-tidal), swimming hole, gorge, pond	A body of water, either fresh or salt water, which may vary in size and is surrounded by land and predominantly stagnate (e.g. Swimming holes or gorges). May be naturally occurring or man-made.
River/Canal	River, creek, stream, canal, aqueduct, slough, flood plain, weir, irrigation channel	A natural or man-made waterway generally fed by other bodies of water. Can vary in water flow, length, width and depth. Use this category where water moves or has the ability to move generally in one direction.
Swimming Pool	Includes the following types of Swimming pools – · Aquatic amusement · Home · Temporary residence · Portable · Public · Spa/Jacuzzi	As defined in the 1995 California Swimming Pool Safety Act: <i>“Swimming pool” or “pool” means any structure intended for swimming or recreational bathing that contains water over 18 inches deep. “Swimming pool” includes in-ground and aboveground structures and includes, but is not limited to, hot tubs, spas, portable spas, and nonportable wading pools.</i> This location category does not include spa baths.

	· Other Unknown	
Other	Fountain, container, fish pond, drain, sink, washing machine, tank, toilet, feeding trough, holes in ground, pipes, ditches, Arroyo/wash	Code used for cases where location is less common or does not fit into above codes.
Unknown Natural Water	Unknown	Location of the drowning incident is not known, but the underlying or record axis multiple cause codes indicate that the drowning occurred in "Natural Water" (W69/W70)
Unknown	Unknown	Location of the drowning incident is not known

Coding Location Categories from Death Data

Ideally, each case should be manually verified, and a body of water determined. However, it may be impractical and unfeasible to do this when working with a large number of case files, as with the initial body of water location coding for the California Drowning Study. The following methodology was developed to reduce the number of cases where manual verification is required.

First, we developed a "location scrape" field by combining the text from the "Place of injury", "Describe how injury occurred", and "Injury location description" variables into a single character string separated by a semicolon. Next, in an iterative development process, we wrote a short script using the dplyr package in R Studio (Wickham et al. 2021, R Core Team 2020) to automatically designate the body of water based on an ordered, multi-part "if/then" key word search.

While developing the optimal order and words to include, we checked word frequencies in the "Location Scrape" category to refine and improve the auto classification procedure. Of note, several cases were auto classified for manual review (e.g. body of water designation includes the word "check"). Manual verification was completed by a single researcher who consulted with a second member of the research team if there was ambiguity or unclear information, and included:

- Any case where body of water keywords appeared together, or in the city name (e.g. "backyard pool in Lakeside"; "river mouth near ocean beach").
- All cases with the words "Spa", "Jacuzzi", or "Driver" were manually reviewed: spa/jacuzzi cases can be confusing and are frequently coded as swimming pool deaths, and searches for the word "river" incorrectly auto classified cases including the word "driver".
- Cases where key words might refer to more than one category were mapped and manually checked. For example, where a "beach" or "marina" might refer to an inland lake vs the more common coastal site.

The final ordered, if/then key word auto designation is below in Table 1.

Table 1: Order of autoclassification body of water designation based on specific variables

Order	Body of Water Designation	Search Fields		
		Underlying ICD10 Cause of Death	Location Scrape	Injury Location City
1	Check - SPA		SPA OR JACUZZI OR HOT TUB	
2	CHECK - DRIVER		DRIVER	
3	CHECK - MULTI		OCEAN AND RIVER	
4	CHECK - MULTI		OCEAN AND LAKE	
5	CHECK - MULTI		OCEAN AND POOL	
6	CHECK - MULTI		LAKE AND RIVER	
7	CHECK - MULTI		LAKE AND POOL	
8	CHECK - MULTI		RIVER AND POOL	
9	CHECK - MULTI		POND AND CREEK	
10	CHECK - MULTI		LAKE AND CREEK	
11	CHECK - CITY		RIVER	RIVER
12	CHECK - CITY		BEACH	BEACH
13	Bathtub	bathtub		
14	Bathtub		bathtub OR bath tub	
15	Pool	swimming pool		
16	Pool	swimming-pool		
17	Pool		POOL	
18	Coastal		OCEAN	
19	River/Canal		RIVER	
20	Lake/Pond		LAKE	
21	Geo Check - Beach		BEACH	
22	Geo Check - Bay		BAY	
23	River/Canal		CANAL	
24	Pond		POND	
25	Lake/Pond		RESERVIOR OR RESERVOIR	
26	River/Canal		CREEK	
27	River/Canal		AQUEDUCT	
28	River/Canal		SLOUGH	
29	Geo Check - Lagoon		LAGOON	
30	Geo Check - Harbor		MARINA OR HARBOR	
31	Other		DITCH	
32	Other		FOUNTAIN	
33	Other		BUCKET	
34	UNKNOWN NATURAL WATER	NATURAL WATER		
36	Manual Check Required			

References

- California Delta Protection Act (1959). California Water Code, Section 12220. Available from: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=PRC&division=19.5.&title=&part=&chapter=1.&article=
- California Swimming Pool Safety Act (1995). California Health and Safety Code, Division 104, Part 10, Chapter 5: Safe Recreational Water Use. Available from: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=HSC&division=104.&title=&part=10.&chapter=5.&article=2.5.
- New Zealand Resource Management Amendment Act of 1993. Public act No. 65; 1993. Available from: <http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1993/0065/latest/DLM302693.html>
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Royal Life Saving Australia (2014). Drowning data definitions manual. Royal Life Saving Australia, Sydney.
- Surf Life Saving Australia (2020) Coastal Fatality Dataset definitions. Surf Life Saving Australia, Sydney.
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., Müller, K. (2021). dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R package version 1.0.7. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>.

Cumulative five-year total, unintentional, and intentional California fatal drowning rates per 100,000 resident population, 2005 - 2019

		Fatal drowning rate per 100,000 population (95% Confidence Intervals)		
		2005 - 2009	2010 - 2014	2015 - 2019
Total population				
	Total	1.54 (1.49-1.6)	1.38 (1.33-1.43)	1.51 (1.46-1.57)
	Unintentional	1.31 (1.26-1.36)	1.14 (1.09-1.19)	1.21 (1.17-1.26)
	Intentional	0.16 (0.14-0.18)	0.16 (0.15-0.18)	0.23 (0.21-0.25)
Age				
0-4	Total	2.7 (2.43-3)	2.25 (2-2.53)	2.09 (1.84-2.36)
	Unintentional	2.49 (2.23-2.77)	2.05 (1.81-2.32)	1.93 (1.7-2.2)
	Intentional	0.13 (0.08-0.21)	0.11 (0.06-0.19)	0.12 (0.07-0.2)
5-14	Total	0.44 (0.36-0.53)	0.35 (0.28-0.44)	0.44 (0.36-0.53)
	Unintentional	0.42 (0.34-0.51)	0.33 (0.26-0.41)	0.4 (0.33-0.49)
	Intentional	0.01 (0-0.03)	0.02 (0-0.04)	0.02 (0.01-0.05)
15-24	Total	1.44 (1.3-1.59)	1.09 (0.97-1.22)	1.32 (1.19-1.47)
	Unintentional	1.28 (1.14-1.42)	0.88 (0.78-1)	0.97 (0.86-1.1)
	Intentional	0.11 (0.07-0.16)	0.16 (0.12-0.22)	0.27 (0.22-0.35)
25-34	Total	1.33 (1.19-1.47)	1.31 (1.17-1.45)	1.33 (1.21-1.47)
	Unintentional	1.13 (1-1.26)	1.03 (0.91-1.16)	1.02 (0.91-1.14)
	Intentional	0.16 (0.11-0.21)	0.2 (0.15-0.26)	0.23 (0.18-0.3)
35-44	Total	1.29 (1.16-1.43)	1.16 (1.03-1.3)	1.4 (1.26-1.55)
	Unintentional	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	0.92 (0.81-1.05)	1.03 (0.91-1.16)
	Intentional	0.21 (0.16-0.28)	0.19 (0.14-0.25)	0.27 (0.21-0.34)
45-54	Total	1.8 (1.64-1.97)	1.59 (1.44-1.75)	1.58 (1.43-1.74)
	Unintentional	1.43 (1.29-1.59)	1.25 (1.12-1.4)	1.2 (1.07-1.35)
	Intentional	0.24 (0.18-0.31)	0.22 (0.16-0.28)	0.27 (0.21-0.34)
55-64	Total	1.74 (1.55-1.95)	1.74 (1.56-1.92)	1.8 (1.63-1.98)
	Unintentional	1.42 (1.25-1.6)	1.44 (1.28-1.61)	1.38 (1.23-1.54)
	Intentional	0.22 (0.16-0.3)	0.21 (0.16-0.28)	0.33 (0.26-0.41)
65-74	Total	1.53 (1.3-1.79)	1.88 (1.65-2.13)	2.13 (1.91-2.37)
	Unintentional	1.35 (1.13-1.59)	1.58 (1.37-1.82)	1.86 (1.65-2.08)
	Intentional	0.15 (0.08-0.24)	0.17 (0.11-0.26)	0.21 (0.15-0.3)
75-84	Total	3.07 (2.67-3.51)	2.19 (1.86-2.56)	2.41 (2.08-2.78)
	Unintentional	2.65 (2.28-3.06)	1.86 (1.56-2.21)	2.16 (1.85-2.51)
	Intentional	0.29 (0.18-0.45)	0.2 (0.11-0.33)	0.19 (0.1-0.31)
85+	Total	3.9 (3.19-4.72)	3.15 (2.57-3.83)	3.42 (2.84-4.08)
	Unintentional	3.39 (2.73-4.15)	2.72 (2.18-3.35)	3 (2.46-3.62)

Sex	Intentional	0.44 (0.23-0.77)	0.22 (0.09-0.45)	0.39 (0.21-0.66)		
	Female	Total	0.84 (0.78-0.9)	0.76 (0.7-0.82)	0.84 (0.79-0.9)	
		Unintentional	0.68 (0.63-0.73)	0.59 (0.54-0.64)	0.63 (0.58-0.68)	
	Male	Intentional	0.12 (0.1-0.14)	0.12 (0.1-0.15)	0.18 (0.15-0.21)	
		Total	2.25 (2.15-2.35)	2.01 (1.92-2.1)	2.19 (2.1-2.29)	
		Unintentional	1.94 (1.85-2.04)	1.7 (1.62-1.79)	1.8 (1.72-1.89)	
Race	AI/AN, non-Hispanic	Intentional	0.21 (0.18-0.24)	0.21 (0.18-0.24)	0.27 (0.24-0.31)	
		Total	2.43 (1.48-3.75)	3.29 (2.11-4.9)	2.84 (1.73-4.39)	
		Unintentional	2.31 (1.39-3.6)	3.02 (1.89-4.57)	2.7 (1.62-4.21)	
	Asian, non-Hispanic	Intentional	0.12 (0-0.68)	0.27 (0.03-0.99)	0 (0-0.52)	
		Total	1.3 (1.15-1.46)	1.24 (1.1-1.38)	1.36 (1.23-1.5)	
		Unintentional	1.03 (0.9-1.18)	0.94 (0.83-1.07)	1.04 (0.93-1.17)	
	Black, non-Hispanic	Intentional	0.21 (0.15-0.28)	0.21 (0.16-0.27)	0.25 (0.2-0.32)	
		Total	1.87 (1.62-2.14)	1.83 (1.58-2.1)	2.03 (1.77-2.31)	
		Unintentional	1.57 (1.34-1.82)	1.54 (1.31-1.79)	1.67 (1.43-1.93)	
	Hawaiian / PI, non-Hispanic	Intentional	0.18 (0.11-0.28)	0.18 (0.11-0.28)	0.25 (0.16-0.36)	
		Total	1.46 (0.67-2.77)	1.47 (0.7-2.7)	1.42 (0.68-2.61)	
		Unintentional	1.13 (0.46-2.34)	1.32 (0.6-2.5)	0.85 (0.31-1.86)	
	Hispanic	Intentional	0.16 (0-0.9)	0 (0-0.54)	0.43 (0.09-1.25)	
		Total	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	0.86 (0.79-0.93)	0.97 (0.9-1.04)	
		Unintentional	1.01 (0.93-1.09)	0.75 (0.69-0.81)	0.83 (0.77-0.9)	
	Two or more races	Intentional	0.05 (0.04-0.07)	0.07 (0.06-0.1)	0.1 (0.07-0.12)	
		Total	1.49 (1.13-1.94)	1.44 (1.13-1.8)	1.62 (1.31-1.97)	
		Unintentional	1.21 (0.88-1.61)	1.11 (0.84-1.44)	1.19 (0.93-1.51)	
	Other, non-Hispanic	Intentional	0.16 (0.06-0.34)	0.15 (0.07-0.3)	0.32 (0.19-0.5)	
		Total	1.74 (0.87-3.11)	3.66 (2.05-6.04)	1.8 (0.82-3.41)	
		Unintentional	1.42 (0.65-2.7)	2.2 (1.01-4.17)	0.6 (0.12-1.75)	
	White, non-Hispanic	Intentional	0.16 (0-0.88)	1.22 (0.4-2.85)	1.2 (0.44-2.61)	
		Total	1.93 (1.83-2.03)	1.84 (1.74-1.94)	2.03 (1.93-2.14)	
		Unintentional	1.6 (1.51-1.69)	1.51 (1.43-1.6)	1.6 (1.51-1.7)	
	Body of water	Bathtub	Intentional	0.24 (0.21-0.28)	0.23 (0.2-0.27)	0.33 (0.29-0.38)
			Total	0.17 (0.15-0.19)	0.18 (0.16-0.2)	0.18 (0.16-0.19)
			Unintentional	0.14 (0.12-0.16)	0.14 (0.13-0.16)	0.15 (0.13-0.16)
Coastal		Intentional	0.02 (0.01-0.02)	0.02 (0.01-0.02)	0.03 (0.02-0.03)	
		Total	0.25 (0.23-0.28)	0.27 (0.25-0.29)	0.32 (0.3-0.35)	
		Unintentional	0.17 (0.15-0.19)	0.19 (0.17-0.21)	0.18 (0.17-0.2)	

Region	Lake/Pond	Intentional	0.07 (0.06-0.09)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	0.12 (0.11-0.14)
		Total	0.17 (0.15-0.19)	0.14 (0.12-0.16)	0.15 (0.13-0.17)
		Unintentional	0.16 (0.14-0.18)	0.12 (0.11-0.14)	0.13 (0.12-0.15)
	Pool	Intentional	0.01 (0-0.01)	0.01 (0.01-0.02)	0.01 (0.01-0.02)
		Total	0.46 (0.43-0.49)	0.39 (0.36-0.42)	0.41 (0.38-0.43)
		Unintentional	0.42 (0.4-0.46)	0.36 (0.33-0.38)	0.38 (0.35-0.41)
	River/Canal	Intentional	0.03 (0.02-0.04)	0.03 (0.02-0.03)	0.02 (0.02-0.03)
		Total	0.35 (0.33-0.38)	0.29 (0.26-0.31)	0.31 (0.29-0.34)
		Unintentional	0.32 (0.29-0.35)	0.25 (0.23-0.27)	0.27 (0.25-0.29)
	Unknown/Other	Intentional	0.02 (0.01-0.02)	0.02 (0.02-0.03)	0.02 (0.01-0.03)
		Total	0.14 (0.12-0.15)	0.12 (0.1-0.13)	0.15 (0.13-0.17)
		Unintentional	0.09 (0.08-0.11)	0.08 (0.07-0.1)	0.1 (0.09-0.12)
	North Coast	Intentional	0.02 (0.02-0.03)	0.02 (0.01-0.03)	0.02 (0.02-0.03)
		Total	4.07 (3.51-4.7)	3.46 (2.95-4.03)	3.6 (3.08-4.18)
		Unintentional	3.72 (3.19-4.32)	3.04 (2.57-3.58)	3.21 (2.72-3.75)
	Superior California	Intentional	0.26 (0.13-0.46)	0.29 (0.16-0.49)	0.25 (0.13-0.43)
		Total	2.54 (2.29-2.81)	2.24 (2.01-2.48)	2.31 (2.08-2.56)
		Unintentional	2.19 (1.95-2.44)	1.91 (1.69-2.14)	1.95 (1.74-2.18)
	San Francisco Bay Area	Intentional	0.18 (0.11-0.26)	0.14 (0.09-0.22)	0.16 (0.1-0.23)
		Total	1.24 (1.12-1.37)	1.19 (1.08-1.32)	1.5 (1.37-1.63)
		Unintentional	0.78 (0.68-0.88)	0.73 (0.65-0.83)	0.83 (0.74-0.93)
	Central Coast	Intentional	0.33 (0.27-0.4)	0.3 (0.25-0.37)	0.51 (0.44-0.6)
		Total	1.86 (1.62-2.14)	1.82 (1.58-2.08)	1.94 (1.69-2.21)
		Unintentional	1.52 (1.3-1.77)	1.57 (1.35-1.82)	1.52 (1.3-1.76)
	N. San Joaquin Valley	Intentional	0.28 (0.19-0.4)	0.19 (0.12-0.29)	0.32 (0.23-0.45)
		Total	3.31 (2.94-3.71)	2.98 (2.63-3.35)	2.61 (2.3-2.96)
		Unintentional	3.09 (2.73-3.48)	2.74 (2.41-3.11)	2.42 (2.12-2.76)
	S. San Joaquin Valley	Intentional	0.1 (0.05-0.2)	0.22 (0.14-0.34)	0.13 (0.07-0.22)
		Total	2.31 (2.04-2.61)	1.71 (1.49-1.96)	2.22 (1.97-2.5)
		Unintentional	2.15 (1.89-2.44)	1.58 (1.37-1.82)	2.01 (1.77-2.28)
Los Angeles	Intentional	0.08 (0.04-0.15)	0.07 (0.03-0.14)	0.16 (0.1-0.25)	
	Total	0.81 (0.73-0.89)	0.75 (0.68-0.83)	0.79 (0.71-0.87)	
	Unintentional	0.7 (0.63-0.78)	0.65 (0.58-0.72)	0.68 (0.61-0.76)	
Orange	Intentional	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	0.09 (0.07-0.12)	
	Total	1.16 (0.99-1.34)	1.21 (1.04-1.4)	1.24 (1.07-1.42)	
	Unintentional	0.94 (0.79-1.11)	1.04 (0.88-1.21)	1.04 (0.88-1.21)	
Inland Empire	Intentional	0.17 (0.11-0.25)	0.12 (0.07-0.18)	0.15 (0.1-0.23)	
	Total				

San Diego – Imperial	Total	1.48 (1.32-1.66)	1.05 (0.92-1.2)	1.25 (1.11-1.41)
	Unintentional	1.4 (1.24-1.58)	0.95 (0.82-1.09)	1.15 (1.01-1.3)
	Intentional	0.06 (0.03-0.1)	0.07 (0.04-0.11)	0.09 (0.05-0.14)
	Total	1.47 (1.29-1.68)	1.42 (1.25-1.61)	1.53 (1.35-1.73)
	Unintentional	1.26 (1.09-1.45)	1.05 (0.9-1.22)	1.13 (0.97-1.3)
	Intentional	0.19 (0.13-0.27)	0.34 (0.26-0.44)	0.38 (0.29-0.48)

Supplemental File 4 - Additional California fatal drowning variables, 2005 -2019.

	Unintentional		Intentional		Undetermined		All Intentions	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total	7,624	100%	1,152	100%	461	100%	9,237	100%
Year of death								
2005	567	7.4%	73	6.3%	27	5.9%	667	7.2%
2006	568	7.5%	75	6.5%	38	8.2%	681	7.4%
2007	481	6.3%	52	4.5%	22	4.8%	555	6%
2008	475	6.2%	59	5.1%	27	5.9%	561	6.1%
2009	517	6.8%	59	5.1%	28	6.1%	604	6.5%
2010	502	6.6%	66	5.7%	28	6.1%	596	6.5%
2011	453	5.9%	60	5.2%	38	8.2%	551	6%
2012	456	6%	65	5.6%	33	7.2%	554	6%
2013	494	6.5%	78	6.8%	38	8.2%	610	6.6%
2014	487	6.4%	73	6.3%	15	3.3%	575	6.2%
2015	468	6.1%	91	7.9%	28	6.1%	587	6.4%
2016	515	6.8%	96	8.3%	38	8.2%	649	7%
2017	559	7.3%	93	8.1%	34	7.4%	686	7.4%
2018	544	7.1%	101	8.8%	37	8%	682	7.4%
2019	538	7.1%	111	9.6%	30	6.5%	679	7.4%
Month of Death								
Jan	460	6%	96	8.3%	39	8.5%	595	6.4%
Feb	409	5.4%	97	8.4%	34	7.4%	540	5.8%
Mar	514	6.7%	107	9.3%	38	8.2%	659	7.1%
Apr	569	7.5%	86	7.5%	41	8.9%	696	7.5%
May	676	8.9%	113	9.8%	41	8.9%	830	9%
Jun	985	12.9%	101	8.8%	40	8.7%	1,126	12.2%
Jul	1,221	16%	90	7.8%	53	11.5%	1,364	14.8%
Aug	889	11.7%	99	8.6%	41	8.9%	1,029	11.1%
Sep	626	8.2%	107	9.3%	43	9.3%	776	8.4%
Oct	466	6.1%	99	8.6%	33	7.2%	598	6.5%
Nov	412	5.4%	82	7.1%	27	5.9%	521	5.6%
Dec	397	5.2%	75	6.5%	31	6.7%	503	5.4%
Highest education								
Did not attend school	973	12.8%	53	4.6%	33	7.2%	1,059	11.5%
1st - 6th grade	576	7.6%	26	2.3%	23	5%	625	6.8%
7th - 12th grade, no HS diploma	964	12.6%	68	5.9%	61	13.2%	1,093	11.8%
High School	1,981	26%	320	27.8%	126	27.3%	2,427	26.3%
Some College	1,161	15.2%	245	21.3%	91	19.7%	1,497	16.2%
Associate & Bachelor	1,185	15.5%	293	25.4%	65	14.1%	1,543	16.7%
Doctorate & Master's	477	6.3%	89	7.7%	20	4.3%	586	6.3%

Professional	76	1%	**		*		104	1.1%
Unknown	231	3%	**		**		303	3.3%
Marital Status								
Single	3,732	49%	584	50.7%	241	52.3%	4,557	49.3%
Married / Domestic Partnership	2,234	29.3%	312	27.1%	93	20.2%	2,639	28.6%
Divorced	1,042	13.7%	173	15%	83	18%	1,298	14.1%
Widowed / Surviving Partner	450	5.9%	57	4.9%	22	4.8%	529	5.7%
Unknown	166	2.2%	26	2.3%	22	4.8%	214	2.3%
Region of residence at time of death								
North Coast	335	4.4%	51	4.4%	15	3.3%	401	4.3%
Superior California	881	11.6%	93	8.1%	93	20.2%	1,067	11.6%
San Francisco Bay Area	1,107	14.5%	344	29.9%	140	30.4%	1,591	17.2%
Central Coast	455	6%	79	6.9%	22	4.8%	556	6%
N. San Joaquin Valley	624	8.2%	42	3.6%	17	3.7%	683	7.4%
S. San Joaquin Valley	589	7.7%	41	3.6%	21	4.6%	651	7%
Los Angeles	1,189	15.6%	130	11.3%	50	10.8%	1,369	14.8%
Orange	483	6.3%	68	5.9%	21	4.6%	572	6.2%
Inland Empire	734	9.6%	58	5%	16	3.5%	808	8.7%
San Diego – Imperial	542	7.1%	145	12.6%	16	3.5%	703	7.6%
Other State or Canadian Province	407	5.3%	70	6.1%	30	6.5%	507	5.5%
Other Country (Non USA/Canada)	222	2.9%	16	1.4%	12	2.6%	250	2.7%
Unknown	56	0.7%	15	1.3%	8	1.7%	79	0.9%
Time between injury and death, if known								
0 - Same day	4,607	60.4%	720	62.5%	176	38.2%	5,503	59.6%
1 day	611	8%	43	3.7%	15	3.3%	669	7.2%
2 - 4 days	500	6.6%	33	2.9%	17	3.7%	550	6%
5 - 89 days	572	7.5%	69	6%	13	2.8%	654	7.1%
90 days - year	24	0.3%	*		*		29	0.3%
Over 1 year	21	0.3%	*		*		22	0.2%
Unknown	1,289	16.9%	285	24.7%	236	51.2%	1,810	19.6%

*Values <11 not visible to protect the confidentiality of individuals summarized in the data

** Values \geq 11 not visible to protect the confidentiality of individuals summarized in the data

Supplementary File 5: Joinpoint Regression Output

See the Joinpoint Help Manual 4.9.1.0 for full description of model output. Available from:

https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/Joinpoint_Help_4.9.1.0.pdf

Annual Percent Change


Rate Type	Segment	Segment Start	Segment End	Annual Percent Change (APC) Point Estimate	APC 95% Confidence Interval	Test Statistic	P-Value
All Intents	0	2005	2011	-3.9	-7 - -0.7	-2.7	0.023
	1	2011	2019	2.4	0.2 - 4.6	2.4	0.035
Unintentional	0	2005	2011	-4.3	-7.4 - -1.1	-3	0.013
	1	2011	2019	1.9	-0.3 - 4.2	1.9	0.08
Intentional	0	2005	2007	-18.6	-34.3 - 0.8	-2.1	0.058
	1	2007	2019	5	3.7 - 6.3	8.6	<0.001
Undetermined	0	2005	2019	-0.4	-3.4 - 2.7	-0.3	0.771

Output Data

Rate Type	Year	Rate Estimate	Model Estimate	Standard Error	Flag	Joinpoints	Final Selected Model
All Intents	2005	1.69	1.68	0.07	NA	1	1
	2006	1.71	1.61	0.07	NA	1	1
	2007	1.39	1.55	0.06	NA	1	1
	2008	1.4	1.49	0.06	NA	1	1
	2009	1.53	1.43	0.06	NA	1	1
	2010	1.43	1.37	0.06	NA	1	1
	2011	1.29	1.32	0.06	Joinpoint 1	1	1
	2012	1.33	1.35	0.06	NA	1	1
	2013	1.47	1.38	0.06	NA	1	1
	2014	1.38	1.42	0.06	NA	1	1
	2015	1.33	1.45	0.06	NA	1	1
	2016	1.48	1.49	0.06	NA	1	1
	2017	1.6	1.52	0.06	NA	1	1
	2018	1.59	1.56	0.06	NA	1	1
2019	1.56	1.6	0.06	NA	1	1	
Unintentional	2005	1.43	1.43	0.06	NA	1	1
	2006	1.42	1.37	0.06	NA	1	1
	2007	1.2	1.31	0.06	NA	1	1
	2008	1.19	1.25	0.06	NA	1	1
	2009	1.31	1.2	0.06	NA	1	1
	2010	1.2	1.15	0.06	NA	1	1
	2011	1.06	1.1	0.05	Joinpoint 1	1	1


	2012	1.09	1.12	0.05	NA	1	1
	2013	1.19	1.14	0.06	NA	1	1
	2014	1.18	1.16	0.06	NA	1	1
	2015	1.05	1.18	0.05	NA	1	1
	2016	1.18	1.21	0.05	NA	1	1
	2017	1.31	1.23	0.06	NA	1	1
	2018	1.29	1.25	0.06	NA	1	1
	2019	1.25	1.28	0.06	NA	1	1
Intentional	2005	0.19	0.2	0.02	NA	1	1
	2006	0.19	0.17	0.02	NA	1	1
	2007	0.13	0.14	0.02	Joinpoint 1	1	1
	2008	0.14	0.14	0.02	NA	1	1
	2009	0.15	0.15	0.02	NA	1	1
	2010	0.17	0.16	0.02	NA	1	1
	2011	0.14	0.16	0.02	NA	1	1
	2012	0.16	0.17	0.02	NA	1	1
	2013	0.18	0.18	0.02	NA	1	1
	2014	0.17	0.19	0.02	NA	1	1
	2015	0.21	0.2	0.02	NA	1	1
	2016	0.22	0.21	0.02	NA	1	1
	2017	0.22	0.22	0.02	NA	1	1
	2018	0.23	0.23	0.02	NA	1	1
2019	0.25	0.24	0.03	NA	1	1	
Undetermined	2005	0.07	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2006	0.1	0.08	0.02	NA	0	0
	2007	0.05	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2008	0.07	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2009	0.07	0.08	0.01	NA	0	0
	2010	0.06	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2011	0.09	0.07	0.02	NA	0	0
	2012	0.08	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2013	0.1	0.07	0.02	NA	0	0
	2014	0.04	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2015	0.07	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2016	0.08	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2017	0.07	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
	2018	0.07	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0
2019	0.06	0.07	0.01	NA	0	0	

All Intents




* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
Final Selected Model: 1 Joinpoint.

Unintentional




* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
Final Selected Model: 1 Joinpoint.

Intentional



* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
Final Selected Model: 1 Joinpoint.

Undetermined



* Indicates that the Annual Percent Change (APC) is significantly different from zero at the alpha = 0.05 level
Final Selected Model: 0 Joinpoints.


Supplementary File 6 - Age-Sex Standardized Fatal Drowning Rates

Age standardized rates were calculated using the direct method and the 2000 US standard population.^{1,2}

Supplementary File 6, Table 1: Crude and age-sex standardized total, unintentional, intentional, and undetermined fatal drowning rates by year, 2005-2019.

Year	Rate Type	Unintentional	Intentional	Undetermined	All Intents
2005	Crude rate	1.43 (1.31-1.56)	0.19 (0.15-0.24)	0.07 (0.04-0.1)	1.69 (1.56-1.83)
	Standardized rate	1.4 (1.36-1.45)	0.19 (0.17-0.21)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.66 (1.61-1.71)
2006	Crude rate	1.42 (1.3-1.55)	0.19 (0.15-0.24)	0.1 (0.07-0.14)	1.71 (1.58-1.85)
	Standardized rate	1.4 (1.35-1.44)	0.19 (0.18-0.21)	0.1 (0.09-0.11)	1.69 (1.64-1.74)
2007	Crude rate	1.2 (1.09-1.32)	0.13 (0.1-0.18)	0.05 (0.03-0.08)	1.39 (1.27-1.51)
	Standardized rate	1.17 (1.13-1.22)	0.13 (0.12-0.14)	0.05 (0.04-0.06)	1.35 (1.31-1.4)
2008	Crude rate	1.19 (1.08-1.3)	0.14 (0.11-0.19)	0.07 (0.04-0.1)	1.4 (1.28-1.52)
	Standardized rate	1.16 (1.12-1.2)	0.14 (0.13-0.16)	0.06 (0.06-0.08)	1.37 (1.32-1.41)
2009	Crude rate	1.31 (1.19-1.43)	0.15 (0.11-0.2)	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	1.53 (1.41-1.66)
	Standardized rate	1.29 (1.25-1.33)	0.15 (0.14-0.17)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.51 (1.46-1.56)
2010	Crude rate	1.2 (1.09-1.32)	0.17 (0.13-0.21)	0.06 (0.04-0.09)	1.43 (1.31-1.56)
	Standardized rate	1.18 (1.14-1.22)	0.17 (0.15-0.18)	0.06 (0.05-0.07)	1.41 (1.36-1.45)
2011	Crude rate	1.06 (0.96-1.17)	0.14 (0.11-0.19)	0.09 (0.06-0.12)	1.29 (1.18-1.41)
	Standardized rate	1.04 (1-1.08)	0.14 (0.13-0.16)	0.09 (0.08-0.1)	1.27 (1.22-1.31)
2012	Crude rate	1.09 (0.99-1.2)	0.16 (0.12-0.21)	0.08 (0.06-0.12)	1.33 (1.22-1.45)
	Standardized rate	1.06 (1.02-1.1)	0.16 (0.15-0.18)	0.08 (0.07-0.09)	1.29 (1.25-1.34)
2013	Crude rate	1.19 (1.08-1.3)	0.18 (0.14-0.23)	0.1 (0.07-0.13)	1.47 (1.35-1.59)
	Standardized rate	1.16 (1.12-1.2)	0.18 (0.16-0.19)	0.09 (0.08-0.11)	1.43 (1.38-1.47)
2014	Crude rate	1.18 (1.07-1.29)	0.17 (0.13-0.21)	0.04 (0.02-0.06)	1.38 (1.26-1.5)
	Standardized rate	1.14 (1.1-1.18)	0.16 (0.14-0.17)	0.03 (0.03-0.04)	1.33 (1.29-1.38)
2015	Crude rate	1.05 (0.95-1.16)	0.21 (0.17-0.26)	0.07 (0.05-0.1)	1.33 (1.22-1.45)
	Standardized rate	1.02 (0.98-1.05)	0.2 (0.19-0.22)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.29 (1.25-1.33)
2016	Crude rate	1.18 (1.07-1.29)	0.22 (0.18-0.27)	0.08 (0.06-0.12)	1.48 (1.37-1.61)
	Standardized rate	1.13 (1.09-1.17)	0.21 (0.19-0.22)	0.08 (0.07-0.09)	1.41 (1.37-1.46)
2017	Crude rate	1.31 (1.2-1.43)	0.22 (0.18-0.27)	0.07 (0.05-0.11)	1.6 (1.48-1.73)
	Standardized rate	1.25 (1.21-1.29)	0.21 (0.19-0.23)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.53 (1.48-1.58)
2018	Crude rate	1.29 (1.18-1.4)	0.23 (0.18-0.28)	0.07 (0.05-0.11)	1.59 (1.47-1.72)
	Standardized rate	1.23 (1.19-1.27)	0.23 (0.21-0.24)	0.07 (0.06-0.08)	1.53 (1.48-1.58)
2019	Crude rate	1.25 (1.14-1.37)	0.25 (0.2-0.3)	0.06 (0.04-0.09)	1.56 (1.44-1.69)
	Standardized rate	1.2 (1.16-1.25)	0.25 (0.23-0.27)	0.06 (0.05-0.07)	1.51 (1.46-1.56)

Supplementary File 6, Figure 1: Crude (line) and age-sex adjusted (circle) total, unintentional, intentional, and undetermined fatal drowning rates by year, 2005-2019.



Supplementary File 6 References

1. Klein RJ. Age adjustment using the 2000 projected US population. Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; 2001. Accessed 7 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/statnt/statnt20.pdf>
2. Day JC. Population projections of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1995 to 2050, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P25-1130, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington. 1996. Accessed 7 March 2023. Available from: <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/1996/demo/p25-1130.pdf>